Optical method for measuring bed topography and flow depth in an experimental flume
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Abstract. We describe an optical method known as moiré for acquiring quasi-simultaneous measurements of bed topography and flow depth in laboratory experiments. The moiré method is based on projecting a fringe pattern (grating) on the bed and analyzing the deformation of the pattern caused by the topography with respect to a reference plane. The height of the object is encoded in the phase of the pattern and can be retrieved either through Fourier transform or phase shifting algorithms. The methodology enables image-based non-contact measurements over a continuous surface at very high spatial and temporal resolutions. We use a commercial software package of a moiré method called Light3D to map bed topography and flow depth in an experimental braided channel and demonstrate how the method can be used to characterize a full range of statistics not previously possible.

1 Introduction

Laboratory experiments are an important tool in the study of morphodynamics and have been used to investigate a wide range of landforms ranging from submarine channels (Métivier et al., 2005) to the response of drainage basins to tectonic uplift (Lague et al., 2003; Turowski et al., 2006). Analog models offer an opportunity to examine interactions underlying naturally complex systems in a well-controlled environment, and to observe how systems evolve over much longer timescales than possible in the field. In fluvial morphodynamics, experiments have been used successfully to reproduce and visualize sediment-flow interactions and bed morphology (Lajeunesse et al., 2010a) and have helped improve our understanding of self-formed channels with mobile beds and stable banks (Armstrong, 2003; Malverti et al., 2008), braiding kinematics (Métivier and Meunier, 2003), bedforms (e.g., Coleman and Eling, 2000), seepage channels (Ni and Crave, 2006), alluvial fans (Reitz et al., 2010), knickpoints (Malverti et al., 2007), and alluvial bed morphodynamics (Coleman and Eling, 2000; Devauchelle et al., 2010a,b). (For a more complete review, see Lajeunesse et al., 2010b; Paola, 2010).

Several experimental techniques have been developed specifically for data acquisition in experiments with the objective of monitoring both bed topography and flow characteristics. Classical approaches to the measurement of bed topography in these types of experiments include moving point or line probes along the experimental surface such as single beam time-of-flight laser profiler mounted on a controlled carriage (Lague et al., 2003), or scanning a stripe laser over the experimental surface (Rice et al., 1988; Darboux and Huang, 2003; Huang et al., 2007a). These techniques often require interrupting the experiment (i.e., shutting off the flow) at regular intervals and moving the probe, often very slowly, over the temporarily frozen surface. A faster and continuous alternative is based on monitoring the experiment with a camera set to capture time-lapse images of the evolving surfaces. This method allows reconstruction of the topography using stereoscopy (Chandler et al., 2001).

To map flow depths, depth-from-luminosity measurements have been successfully acquired over both fixed and evolving topography. Aureli et al. (2008) mapped the evolving flow depth over a flat, transparent floor, illuminated from below, by adding blue dye to the water and monitoring from above.
the distribution of light attenuation. Tal and Paola (2007) used rhodamine dye to estimate the distribution of water depth in braided and single-thread channels. Both methods involve using flow with a constant dye concentration and calibrating the depth dependence of the luminosity as recorded by camera images. These methods are closely related to the common use of fluorescent dye to monitor ground-water flow patterns (Weiler and Flühler, 2004; Huang et al., 2007b).

While independent methods for measuring bed topography and flow depth exist, simultaneous measurement of bed topography and flow depth remains a challenge. Huang et al. (2010) proposed a novel combination of methods to study the morphodynamics of sediment beds under the action of flowing water. In this method, a laser stripe is scanned back and forth across the experimental surface while a fluorescent dye is mixed with the flowing water, allowing simultaneous mapping of both bed topography and water depth at millimetric resolution and sub-millimetric accuracy (0.6 mm).

In this paper we describe a method for acquiring quasi-simultaneous measurements of bed topography and flow depth at very high resolution using an optical method known as moiré. This method requires the interrupting of the flow and the acquisition of two sets of topographies with and without flow. We begin by describing the different steps in applying this version of the moiré method to reconstruct the topography of a dry bed. We then discuss the case of an experimental alluvial plain with water flowing over the bed. Finally, we apply this method to a microscale braided channel experiment and discuss the quality of the results obtained.

The moiré method has been successfully applied in metrology studies (Chiang, 1983), industrial inspection (Sansoni et al., 2000), human body mapping for medical diagnosis (Halioua and Liu, 1989; Kozłowski and Serra, 1997) and art inspection (Brémand et al., 2007).

In geomorphology, simplified versions of the moiré method have been previously used in laboratory physical experiments to study granular flows (Poulilquin and Fortherre, 2002), incision dynamics of subaqueous channels (Lancien et al., 2005), and micro-scale braided rivers (Meunier, 2004). In these studies the method was implemented by projecting a single grid onto the evolving surface and analyzing how it is deformed (captured by time-lapse images) using a Fourier transform. This approach has the advantage of being low cost (minimum materials required include only a digital camera and an overhead or slide projector) and is relatively simple to implement. However, it offers limited resolution and a limited field of view, and therefore limited object dimensions.

Here we present a more elaborate implementation of the moiré method to two fluvial geomorphology studies. This method was developed at the Laboratory of Solid State Mechanics of the University of Poitiers, France (Breque et al., 2004). This commercial software was already used for the experimental investigation of bedforms dynamics in a configuration where the sediment supply was limited (Dreano et al., 2010). It uses a phase shifting method for phase calculation, robust phase unwrapping combined with gray coding and a calibration procedure that allows assessing the geometric parameters. The method proves relatively easy to use and to calibrate. The number of projected images can be varied so one can switch between high resolution and fast acquisition time according to the requirements of the experiments.

Braiding has always been easy to reproduce in the lab and many experiments have been developed since the fifties (Ashmore, 1982; Federici and Paola, 2003; Schumm, 1977; Schumm et al., 1987). Yet the existence of multiple highly dynamic threads poses challenging issues. The technique we present here enables us to address two key issues in braided river studies: topographic evolution of the bed and shear stress distribution.

The novelty here is that we are able to get the instantaneous depth everywhere on the braid plane. Thus, for the first time, we are able to compute PDFs of flow depth and probably shear stress distributions. To our knowledge there exist only two published PDFs for natural braided streams (Paola, 1996; Métyvier et al., 2011) and one for experimental braided rivers (Tal and Paola, 2007). Both of these records are rough and limited, precisely because of the acquisition techniques. Yet these studies and both theoretical and numerical considerations (Paola, 1996, 2001) clearly point to the key importance of such measurements in order to understand the physics of sediment transport in a braided river.

2 Presentation of the moiré method

2.1 General considerations

The moiré phenomenon can be observed when superimposing two (or more) periodic or quasiperiodic structures; the so-called moiré method is not in fact one method, but rather a group of methods. Depending upon how or from what type of geometric patterns the moiré fringes are created, they assume different physical signification. The method of moiré contouring is a well known technique for 3-D shape measurement (Patrorski, 1993): the moiré fringes are created by the superposition of two periodic alternating line-space patterns, i.e., linear gratings. One of these gratings is to be distorted by an object whose deformation or shape is represented by the moiré fringes. The main merits of the moiré topography are:

1. Measurements are whole-field, an advantage over point-by-point or line methods.
2. Data acquisition and processing is relatively fast so investigations can be done in quasi-real-time and the process automated.
3. The resolution may be varied.
4. Differential as well as absolute measurements are possible so that the influence of system geometry and optical aberrations may be removed.

The output of a moiré fringe technique is a fringe pattern which must be analysed so that the results can be presented in a numerical or graphical form. In the process of fringe pattern analysis, the only measurable quantity is the intensity that has a cosinusoidal profile:

\[ I(x, y) = a(x, y) + b(x, y) \cos[\phi(x, y)] \]  

where \( I(x, y) \) is the measured intensity, \( \phi(x, y) \) is the phase of the signal, \( a(x, y) \) is the background intensity and \( b(x, y) \) is the local contrast; both \( a(x, y) \) and \( b(x, y) \) being proportional to the surface reflectivity. The phase \( \phi(x, y) \) contains the desired out-of-plane information and should be extracted from Eq. (1). The main problems related to the phase evaluation are:

1. The phase is screened by two other functions: the background intensity \( a(x, y) \) and the local contrast \( b(x, y) \).
2. Due to the periodicity of cosinusoidal intensity profile, the phase is only determined modulo \( 2\pi \).
3. Due to the even character of the cosine function, the sign of \( \phi(x, y) \) cannot be extracted from a single measurement, so that one cannot distinguish between depression and elevation without a priori knowledge.

If the moiré method usually supposes the superposition of two or more periodic structures, in this work we used a cosine fringe pattern projection. Simple Fourier analysis of the fringe pattern in the presence of the spatial carrier frequency, for example in the x direction, gives:

\[ \phi'(x, y) = 2\pi f_0 x + \phi(x, y) \]  

The phase to be measured, \( \phi(x, y) \), modulates the carrier fringe pattern and this modulation is indicated by changes in the position and spatial frequency of the fringes.

Experimentally, the method consists of projecting a grating of parallel lines onto an object, in our case a stream table (Fig. 1). The topography of the object will deform the grating (Fig. 2b) and this deformation is calculated with respect to a reference plane which in our case is a flat bed (Fig. 2a). The height of the object is encoded in the phase of the pattern and can be retrieved either through the Fourier transform method or phase shifting algorithms.

2.2 Fourier-transform profilometry

If \( a(x, y) \), \( b(x, y) \) and \( \phi(x, y) \) are slowly varying functions compared to the variation introduced by the carrier frequency \( f_0 \), simple Fourier spectrum analysis can be used to obtain the phase \( \phi(x, y) \) (Takeda et al., 1982). The cosine function in Eq. (1) can be rewritten as a sum of complex exponentials:

\[ I(x, y) = a(x, y) + c(x, y) \exp(j2\pi f_0 x) + c^*(x, y) \exp(-j2\pi f_0 x) \]  

with

\[ c(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} b(x, y) \exp[j\phi(x, y)] \]  

After one-dimensional Fourier transform in the x direction, the following holds:

\[ F(I) = A(f, y) + C(f - f_0, y) + C^*(f + f_0, y) \]  

where \( A \), \( C \) and \( C^* \) are complex Fourier amplitudes and \( f \) is the spatial frequency in the x direction. The spectrum term \( C(f - f_0, y) \) can be isolated using a filter centered at \( f_0 \) and the carrier frequency can be removed by shifting \( C(f - f_0, y) \) on the frequency axis toward the origin by \( f_0 \). The unwanted background variation \( a(x, y) \) has been filtered out at this stage. Applying the inverse Fourier transform to
the \( C(f, y), c(x, y) \) is obtained and the phase function may be calculated:

\[
\phi(x, y) = \arctan \frac{\text{Re}[c(x, y)]}{\text{Im}[c(x, y)]}
\]

(6)

The main advantage of the FFT method is that phase values are extracted only from a single image. The method is mainly suitable for real-time applications where speed is more important than accuracy. The various sources of error such as the influence of noise, fringe discontinuities, and choice of filtering window are discussed in (Takeda, 1990; Kozłowski and Serra, 1999) and a few tracks are given to minimize them.

2.3 Phase-shifting method

The principle of the phase-shifting method consists of generating a series of images where the projected fringe pattern or gratings are shifted in its plane (Schmit and Creath, 1995). A minimum of three measurements is necessary to calculate the phase since there are three unknowns in the fringe pattern (1). When the phase shifts are chosen such that \( N \) measurements are equally spaced over one period: i.e., \( \delta i = i2\pi/N \) where \( i = 1N \), the phase is given by the equation:

\[
\phi(x, y) = \arctan \frac{\sum I_i(x, y)\sin\delta_i}{\sum I_i(x, y)\cos\delta_i}
\]

(7)

Because the phase is continuously distributed within its range of nonambiguity \((0–2\pi)\), the height resolution is limited only by the light level quantization and noise (an important source of noise can come from imperfect phase shifting if the grid pitch is not constant over the surface). On the other hand, due to the nature of \( \arctan \) calculations, the equations for phase calculations (6) and (7) are determined only modulo \( 2\pi \). This fact strongly reduces the height range, and an unwrapping process is necessary to reconstruct the surface map.

2.4 Phase unwrapping

Unwrapping uses an integration technique that sums up the phases to remove jumps between adjacent pixels larger than \( \pi \). When the phase difference between adjacent pixels is greater than \( \pi \), a multiple of \( 2\pi \) is added or subtracted to make the difference less than \( \pi \). Unwrapping becomes more difficult when the absolute phase difference between adjacent pixels is greater than \( \pi \). These discontinuities may be introduced by high-frequency, high-amplitude noise and discontinuous phase jumps. Several algorithms were proposed with different degrees of robustness for phase unwrapping as reviewed in the book of Ghiglia and Pritt (1998). Two types of approaches of 2-D phase unwrapping exists: one is based on path-following methods or local methods, while the other is based on minimum-norm methods or global methods.

For objects presenting marked discontinuities of the surface, a technique using gray-code structured light can be employed to remove phase unambiguities at the expense of reduced resolution (Sansoni et al., 1997). It has been shown that this technique, also called temporal phase unwrapping reduces the computation time and improves the unwrapping reliability (Huntley and Saldner, 1997).

In the case of multiple-shot combination of gray-code and phase shifting, both extended measuring range and high resolution can be attained, as in the commercialized methods described by Sansoni et al. (2003) and Breque et al. (2004). These methods require the projection of 8 and respectively 16 computer-generated fringe patterns, which is time consuming. The most important drawback of the multiple-shot methods such as gray-code methods, phase shifting methods, and their combination, is their requirement for a series of images to be recorded at different moments of time. This feature ultimately imposes a limit on the speed of data capture and analysis.

For applications requiring real-time or dynamic measurements, a different projection scheme was proposed: unwrapping using local wave algorithm (Liebling et al., 2004) from a single image (Cochard and Ancey, 2008).

But phase unwrapping can be avoided, as shown by Kozłowski and Serra (1997). Their technique, called phase locked loop, uses two images with a projected grid shifted in phase by half of its period.

In general, the coding method is a trade-off between the resolution of the measurement, the characteristics of the target shape, the whole dimensions of the surface, and the time available for the projection.

2.5 Phase-to-height conversion

Once the phase map has been calculated, the conversion from phase to actual object height needs to be performed. In the case of parallel projected and observed beams, the relief \( Z \) is proportional to the phase difference between the reference grating and the grating deformed by the object (Brémand, 1994):

\[
Z = \frac{p}{2\pi} \frac{\phi(x, y)}{\tan \alpha}
\]

(8)

where \( p \) is the projected grating spacing. The simplified assumption of parallel beams is valid only if:

1. The projection and observation distances are the same \((h_p = h_o = h)\);
2. The relief is small compared to the observation distance \((z \ll h)\);
3. The size of object is small compared to the distance between the light source and the camera \((x \ll d)\).

Moiré methods suppose that the projection grid on the reference plane has a constant frequency and that the light projected onto the object is parallel. These requirements hold true for small objects and for a light projector located far
from it. In practice, in order to obtain a larger field of view, diverging illumination needs to be used. Also, for large objects the optics of the camera could introduce significant distortion, but this can be corrected through image processing. The limiting requirements of constant frequency grid and parallel beams condition can be overcome by the use of a new method (Breque et al., 2004). The method is based on a pinhole model (Cardenas-Garcia et al., 1995), generally used in the principles of stereovision. Because of the diverging nature of the illumination, this situation requires different, more complicated analysis than Eq. (8). In this general case (Eq. 10), the relief is calculated with the phase \( \phi(x, y) \), and the geometric parameters \( (h_o, d, h_o, f_p \) and \( P_l \)); \( h_o \), \( d \), \( h_o \) are defined in Fig. 1: \( P_l / f_p \) is the projector magnification, \( f_p \) and \( P_l \) are the focal length of the projector and the grating pitch at the output lens of the projector respectively:

\[
Z = \frac{h_o (h_o - d \delta \phi / f_p) / \gamma_{\text{CCD}} - P_l / f_p (d^2 + h_o^2)}{h_o (2 \pi h_o - d \delta \phi / f_p) d + P_l / f_p (d^2 + h_o^2) \phi - h_o (2 \pi h_o - d \delta \phi / f_p) / \gamma_{\text{CCD}}}
\]

(9)

The projected light being non-parallel, the coordinates \( X, Y \) of each point need to be corrected as a function of pixel index \( (i, j) \), where \( \gamma_{\text{CCD}} \) is the magnification of the CCD entrance lens in mm/pixel:

\[
X = \frac{(Z + h_o) \gamma_{\text{CCD}}}{h_o} \quad Y = \frac{(Z + h_o) \gamma_{\text{CCD}}}{h_o}
\]

(10)

The determination of these parameters is crucial for the accuracy of the final results.

### 2.6 Calibration

If the simplifying assumptions of parallel illumination and constant frequency apply, Eq. (8) holds true, and relief is proportional to the phase. The proportionality constant is calculated either by measuring the two unknown parameters (the angle between projection and observation axis \( (\alpha) \) and the grating pitch on the reference surface \( (p) \)) or by a simple calibration using an object of known height. As discussed in the previous paragraph, in the general case of diverging illumination the relief depends on five geometrical parameters and a more complex calibration needs to be implemented. Several calibration procedures have been proposed to measure relief by means of triangulation. Guangjun and Liqun (2000) proposed a combination of vertical and horizontal gratings projected onto a reference plane. Sansoni et al. (2000) used a calibration based on an iteration algorithm and the measurement of a rectangular prism shape object of known height. This method is valid in the case of equal projection and observation height \( (h_p = h_o) \). For the general case (diverging illumination and \( h_p \neq h_o \)) the method proposed by Breque et al. (2004) uses a rotating reference plane. More details about this method are given in the experimental section (Sect. 3.1) as this is the method we implemented for our study.

### 2.7 The influence of water on the demodulation

Because of light refraction at the air/water interface, bed topography reconstructed by any method based on grid deformation will be distorted relative to the true topography when water is present above the surface. By measuring bed topography with and without the flow, water depth can thus be calculated. As for the setup proposed by Huang et al. (2010), we assumed that the flow is locally uniform (free surface parallel to the bed surface). This assumption was verified in similar experimental conditions in the work of Malverti et al. (2008): water velocities obtained experimentally compared rigorously with predicted values from laminar flow Navier-Stokes equations.

Light produces a bright spot when hitting the surface. From the point of view of the camera, the bright spot is observed in the direction corresponding to the angle \( i_c \) with respect to the normal (Fig. 3):

\[
\frac{\sin i_p}{\sin r_p} = \frac{\sin i_c}{\sin r_c} = n_{\text{water}}
\]

(11)

where \( n_{\text{water}} \) is the refractive index of water. The difference in elevation \( (\Delta h) \) between the apparent location of the bright spot \( (h) \) and the true depth \( (H) \) is obtained by trigonometry, then the true depth can be deduced:

\[
H = \frac{1}{1 - \tan r_p / \tan r_c} \Delta h
\]

(12)

The difference between topographies obtained with and without flow will give a distorted bathymetry \( (\Delta h) \) that is always shallower than the true bathymetry \( (H) \). Incidence angles can be calculated in any point by simple trigonometry: \( i_p, i_c = f(x, y), \) and the correction factor in Eq. (12) can be applied to any point on the surface.

### 3 Experimental results

Figure 1 is a schematic of the experimental setup used to reproduce microscale braided channels. Details of experiments similar to the ones described here can be found in Métivier and Meunier (2003). The experiments were conducted at the IPGP experimental facility in a microscale flume made of plexiglass (1.5 m long and 0.75 m wide) with a fully adjustable bed (height and tilt). The initial slope of the bed was set to approximately 0.05. The sediment in these experiments was composed of glass beads with a D50 of 250 micron and a density of 2500 kg m\(^{-3}\). The initial condition for each experiment was a flat bed with a straight channel (1 cm deep x 2 cm wide) carved down the middle of the bed. The flow was laminar and a fully braided morphology spontaneously developed and reached steady state (sediment input equals sediment output) after several hours. Sediment and water were fed continuously at a constant rate at the upstream end of the flume. Sediment transport was measured at the outlet.
using a constant head tank which rests on a balance. Flow discharge was typically $2.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and sediment discharge was typically around $8 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Pieces of plexiglass were placed at an angle along the sidewalls in order to deflect the flow and discourage it from sticking to the sidewalls. Glare (specular reflection) produced by the free surface of water was reduced by using two cross axis polarizers placed in front of the projector and the camera respectively.

### 3.1 Light3D description, calibration and verification experiments

The algorithm we used was developed at the Laboratory of Solid State Mechanics of the University of Poitiers, France and is available as a commercial, software package called Light3D. The software allows the user to choose the number of phase-shifted projected patterns (3, 8, 16 or 32) and phase unwrapping can be performed with or without 8 gray code images. The encoded patterns are sent from the computer to a Sanyo PLV-Z5 video-projector. A black and white, 8-bit, $1280 \times 1024$ pixels μeye Stemmer Imaging CCD camera was used for image acquisition. Since light beams are not parallel, the introduced phase shifting is not constant across the whole image. The algorithm implemented into the software recomputes the true phase shifts using Fast Fourier Transform (FFT). Therefore, the need to have a constant grid pitch over the entire image is also overcome. This requirement is essential when using the Fourier-transform profilometry as proposed by Takeda et al. (1982) (Sect. 2.2).

Inside of the rectangular image, the object can be of any shape. The object mask is determined as follows: if the intensity of the fringe pattern is the same, this indicates that it is an outside point, so the mask is set to zero and is set to 255 for an inside point if the intensities are different. The phase is obtained modulo $2\pi$ for each internal point (i.e. when mask = 255). Then the phase needs to be unwrapped, but because of the noise or discontinuities, a second mask step is applied, according to a modified Bone algorithm (Brémand, 1994). This method adopts a “quality” measure to guide the unwrapping process by calculating the second order partial derivatives of the phase map and comparing them to a threshold value to detect the discontinuities. A point of discontinuity is then set to zero. When the mask procedure is finished, the phase unwrapping algorithm can be applied. Each point having a mask value of 255 should give only one fringe number. If this is not the case, a new threshold is applied until the discontinuity disappears. The image is scanned from one corner, the phase is unwrapped only if at least one of its 8 neighbours is treated. After the first pass, it is possible that several points could not be unwrapped. Then a second pass is performed from the opposite corner, and the cycle is repeated until all the points are analysed.

The phase-shifting technique of generating phase maps combined with a “quality” based unwrapping algorithm makes this method suitable for the measurement of smooth objects with a very high resolution of measurement. When both extended height measuring range and high resolution are required, the software can generate and use 8 gray code images, at the expense of longer acquisition times.

The software allows the unwrapping of absolute phase maps as well as the unwrapping of the difference between two phase maps. The first type of calculation will produce a relief expressed with respect to the reference plane and the second one the relief deformation with respect to an initial state.

For the results shown here the phase field was calculated with 8 phase-shifted images and robust unwrapping using a series of 8 gray code images. Prior to each experiment, a calibration procedure that uses a rotating plane at two positions separated by a given angle was performed to determine the geometric parameters. Two experimental measurements of phase fields were then carried out by means of phase shifting. The first one corresponds to the reference plane $\phi_{\text{ref}}$ (position 1 of the calibration board in Fig. 1) and the second one to the same reference plane rotated by an angle $\beta \phi_{\text{cal}}$ (position 2 of the calibration board in Fig. 1). The two phase fields obtained for the two positions of the plane are interpolated by a least square fit considering some (40) different lines distributed into the image, according to the algorithm developed by Breque et al. (2000), and given that the relief of the rotating plane is known in every point. The rotation angle $\beta$ was measured by using a digital slope meter. To begin the unwrapping process, it is necessary to locate the initial fringe, which allows setting the origin O of the working referential. By projecting a single horizontal line onto the reference plane, the alignment of the projector/camera system is adjusted so that the projected line corresponds to the rotation axis of the reference plane during calibration. The zero fringe is therefore the same in the reference and calibration...
Table 1. Symbols and notations used in this paper

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I(x,y)$</td>
<td>intensity of fringe pattern</td>
<td>[counts]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a(x,y)$</td>
<td>background intensity</td>
<td>[counts]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b(x,y)$</td>
<td>local contrast</td>
<td>[counts]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c(x,y), c^*(x,y)$</td>
<td>complex contrast coefficients</td>
<td>[counts]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi(x,y)$</td>
<td>phase</td>
<td>[rad]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi'(x,y)$</td>
<td>phase with carrier pattern</td>
<td>[rad]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_0$</td>
<td>carrier frequency</td>
<td>[L]$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$j$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{-1}$</td>
<td>[0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F(I)$</td>
<td>fourier transform of intensity</td>
<td>[L]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>fourier transform of background intensity</td>
<td>[L]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C, C^*$</td>
<td>fourier transform of contrast coefficients</td>
<td>[L]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta$</td>
<td>number of phase shifts</td>
<td>[0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_i = \frac{i2\pi}{N}$</td>
<td>phase shift increment</td>
<td>[rad]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_i(x,y)$</td>
<td>intensity of fringe pattern for each phase shift</td>
<td>[counts]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z$</td>
<td>relief</td>
<td>[L]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>projected grating spacing</td>
<td>[L]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_p$</td>
<td>projection distance</td>
<td>[L]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_o$</td>
<td>observation distance</td>
<td>[L]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>distance between the light source and camera</td>
<td>[L]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_p$</td>
<td>projector focal length</td>
<td>[L]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_r$</td>
<td>grating pitch at the output lens</td>
<td>[L]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{CCD}$</td>
<td>magnification of CCD entrance length</td>
<td>[L] /pixel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_p$</td>
<td>projection incidence angle</td>
<td>[rad]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_c$</td>
<td>camera incidence angle</td>
<td>[rad]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_p$</td>
<td>projection refraction angle</td>
<td>[rad]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_c$</td>
<td>camera refraction angle</td>
<td>[rad]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta h$</td>
<td>difference in elevation between dry and wet relief</td>
<td>[L]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>true water depth</td>
<td>[L]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_w$</td>
<td>water refraction index</td>
<td>[0]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

planes. This simple calibration allows the determination of the geometrical parameters ($h_p$, $d$, $h_o$, and $P_r/f_p$). These geometrical parameters are difficult to measure manually and accurately. The precision of the calibration depends on the quality of the calculated phase fields: $\phi_{ref}$ and $\phi_{cal}$. A flat, light color, highly diffusive board was used in the calibration procedure. The results of the calibration were the following: $h_p = 2050$ mm, $d = 1857$ mm, $h_o = 1957$ mm, $P_r/f_p = 0.0051$, for a $\gamma_{CCD} = 0.78$ mm/pixel.

In order to evaluate the precision and resolution of the method, two preliminary experiments were performed. Firstly we analysed the distribution of residual noise of a perfectly flat surface and secondly we discussed the results obtained by demodulation on a object of a known height (a reference scale). In order to obtain a perfectly flat surface we used milk, which has the advantage of being not only flat but opaque and of light colour. Figure 4 shows the results obtained as a difference of 2 levels of milk. One can also see the bubbles that existed on the first surface (black marks) and on the second surface (white marks). Except for the bubbles zone, the surface was perfectly flat, with a “residual”
modulation still visible. The milk depth level measured on the flat zone has a value of 2.42 mm with a standard deviation remarkably low (0.02 mm). This precision is comparable to the one reported by using a combination of stereo camera system with structured light illumination (ATOS system by GOM, France), used in the work of Turowski et al. (2006) (20 µm), and a real improvement with respect to the precision reported by Huang et al. (2010) (0.6 mm).

Figure 5a shows the relief obtained with our reference scale. One can notice the shadow zone behind the object, and the black zones on the top of it where a slope meter is mounted on. The first stair is 10 mm high and the 5 others are 2 mm high. A cross section passing at a length equal to 230 mm is shown in Fig. 5b. As one can notice, the stairs are well resolved. The uncertainty measured on each stair and on the flume board is about 0.1 mm and is mainly due to the non-uniformity of the flume board and of the white painting applied on the scale. The uncertainty on the water depth is the same as on the topography, provided that the water refraction is taken into consideration properly (Eq. 12). We verified this statement by introducing our scale into a shallower tank filled with water and by calculating the water depth from the difference between the topography obtained with and without water.

3.2 Experimental braided channel: topography and water depth

Bed topography was measured one minute after the flow was turned off (to ensure the bed was uniformly drained). The impact of stopping and starting the flow on bed topography was negligible. Water depth was calculated by differencing the topography obtained with the flow on immediately before it was shut off and with the flow off, taking into account the water refraction as described in Sect. 2.7. This is facilitated in our case by the fact that our experiments are conducted under laminar conditions and the slopes are small without steep variations, so that water free surface can be considered uniform and parallel to bed surface at the scale of moiré fringe.

Bed topography and flow depth measured during an experiment are shown in Fig. 6. Dry bed topography is between −4 and 4 mm, while maximum water depth is approximately 3 mm (flow depth increases in the negative direction). Figure 6 shows bed topography and flow depth in cross-section at 530 mm downstream from the top of the study reach. Several occupied and abandoned channels are visible along this transect.

We excluded data from approximately 25 mm on either side of the flume where the roughness elements were located. A PDF of flow depth between −2.0 and 2.0 mm was calculated for the study area (red curve in Fig. 8). The average value of the water depth and the standard deviation were −0.24 mm and 0.43, respectively. If we consider positive flow depth noise and if we consider noise distribution as being symmetrical with respect to zero, we obtain the green curve in Fig. 8, which has a standard deviation of 0.28 mm. The difference between total depth distribution and noise results in the true depth distribution shown in blue in Fig. 8. The mean and standard deviation of this distribution are −0.49 and 0.41 mm, respectively. The area of the flume occupied by flow after correcting for noise is 49 %.

In a separate set of microscale braided river experiments, the focus was on the dynamically evolving topography, and particularly on channel characteristics and dynamics. The study demonstrates how a moiré method can be used to characterize a full range of statistics beyond just topography and depth. Calibration proceeded as above, with slightly different camera distances resulting in 0.93 mm/pixel resolution. Data were again collected via a series of 8 phase-shifted images, and deconstructed with Light3D software. Topography data for the measurements shown here were collected after the system had evolved from a flat bed to the dynamic equilibrium condition of equal input/output sediment flux, after one hour of steady water and sediment input. The 60 cm by 60 cm focus region of measurements was located in the downstream half of the system, to avoid potential inlet effects. The bulk relief values of this area are characterized in Fig. 9a, generally within a range of −5 mm incision and +2 mm accretion. An initial scan with water running was followed by a scan...
Fig. 7. Transects into wet, dry and water depth topographies at length value of 530 mm.

Fig. 8. PDFs of water depth distribution (red curve) with the noise (green curve) removed (blue curve).

taken two minutes after water flow had been stopped, and water depths were determined following the procedure outlined in Sect. 2.7 (Fig. 9b). Water depth values peak at a value of about 0.5 mm, and vary down to depths of 2–3 mm.

In this study data were also collected on various aspects of the channels. In order to isolate the channelized areas in a systematic manner, small, noisy water depth values were eliminated by setting a threshold on the water depth data set to only include depths up to the third statistical quartile of depth values (see Fig. 10a for a color-depth image of channelized water depths as isolated by this threshold). Having used this method to isolate the channels, channel characteristics such as number, width, length, and spacing were measured. The within-channel slope profiles could also be tracked; see Fig. 9b for profiles of the five longest continuous threads of the study region, where it was possible to resolve sub-millimeter-scale features on the channel floors. Additionally, within-channel slopes at the banks were measured perpendicular to flow, as defined over a given distance inward of channel boundaries (Fig. 9c). Measurements such as these can improve our understanding of channel geometry organization, especially when combined with information about local Shields stress and bank migration rate, both of which can be extracted from a time-sequence of relief and water depth data.

Finally, by differencing successive topographic scans (Fig. 11), we can produce a series of maps of erosional and depositional features as they evolve through time. With the high temporal resolution feasible with this method of measurement, we can gain new insight into the dynamic evolution of braided rivers.
**Fig. 10.** (a) Planview of the analyzed section of the braided river system. Colors correspond to water depth values. The direction of water flow is from the top to the bottom of the image; channelized threads are visible as vertical lines. (b) Within-channel elevation profiles of the five longest continuous channel threads. Profiles are plotted from an x-value corresponding to the distance down Sect. (a) at which the channel begins. (c) Histogram of cross-stream slope values within the channels, at the channel banks (outer 3 mm). Channel boundaries are defined using the wet fraction 3rd-quartile threshold discussed in the text.

**Fig. 11.** (a) Topography scan of a developed braided river system, with flow direction from top to bottom of the image, and (b) scan repeated five minutes later. By subtracting the first scan from the second, we can produce (c) a map of the erosional and depositional features of the landscape.

4 Conclusions

The moiré method provides a way of characterizing evolving experimental systems at very high spatial and temporal resolutions. This detailed description is especially useful for systems as complex and ephemeral as braided rivers, for which patterns of channel organization and feature migration are sensitive to local topographic conditions that vary widely. With high resolution data, dynamic features can be characterized with respect to local constraints, and in this way we can learn about processes that operate at small scales. With significant statistics, we can also identify bulk system patterns, and either track their evolution through time or test their sensitivity to changing boundary conditions. Then, with information about both scales of activity, we can hopefully connect the evolution driven by small-scale processes to the emergence of observed large-scale patterns. The ease and resolution of this method of data collection will enable us to describe the evolution and organization of braided river systems with more robust statistics than have yet been reported. With this description, we will hopefully be able to parse the relevant processes and timescales that drive braided river evolution.

A certain number of requirements have to be fulfilled in order to obtain the best raw images as input data regardless of which method is used for phase measurement. Moiré methods work well for light color, relatively uniform, diffusive substrate. As in any triangulation method, shadow zones may appear, where all the information is lost. In order to image those zones, the projection and observation angles should be changed. The method is relatively insensitive to stray light since the information is encoded into the phase. Background light should, if possible, be minimized, in order to take full advantage of the dynamic range of elementary detectors of the CCD camera.

Under optimised experimental conditions we report here uncertainties on both topography and bathymetry varying between 20 to 100 µm with sub-millimeter spatial resolution. Under dynamic conditions, due to the fact that this is a multiple-shot method, this uncertainty increases, since the different images are recorded at different moments in time. For time evolving systems, such as the examples shown here, the noise on the water depth distribution increases to about 300 µm, while the uncertainty on the topography stays unchanged, since it is measured without flow, in a frozen condition.
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