New insights on the early Mesozoic evolution of multiple tectonic regimes in the northeastern North China Craton from the detrital zircon provenance of sedimentary strata
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Abstract. To investigate the timing of deposition and provenance of early Mesozoic strata in the northeastern North China Craton (NCC) and to understand the early Mesozoic paleotectonic evolution of the region, we combine stratigraphy, U–Pb zircon geochronology, and Hf isotopic analyses. Early Mesozoic strata include the Early Triassic Heisonggou, Late Triassic Changbai and Xiaoyingzi, and Early Jurassic Yihe formations. Detrital zircons in the Heisonggou Formation yield ∼58 % Neoarchean to Paleoproterozoic ages and ∼42 % Phanerozoic ages and were sourced from areas to the south and north of the basins within the NCC, respectively. This indicates that Early Triassic deposition was controlled primarily by the southward subduction of the Paleo-Asian oceanic plate beneath the NCC and collision between the NCC and the Yangtze Craton (YC). Approximately 88 % of the sediments within the Late Triassic Xiaoyingzi Formation were sourced from the NCC to the south, with the remaining ∼12 % from the Xing’an–Mongolia Orogenic Belt (XMOB) to the north. This implies that Late Triassic deposition was related to the final closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean during the Middle Triassic and the rapid exhumation of the Su–Lu Orogenic Belt between the NCC and YC. In contrast, ∼88 % of sediments within the Early Jurassic Yihe Formation were sourced from the XMOB to the north, with the remaining ∼12 % from the NCC to the south. We therefore infer that rapid uplift of the XMOB and the onset of the subduction of the Paleo-Pacific Plate beneath Eurasia occurred in the Early Jurassic.

1 Introduction

The Mesozoic tectonic evolution of the East Asian continental margin has been commonly interpreted as a response to multiple and overprinting tectonic event, commonly referred to as (i) the closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean (Sengör and Natal’ in, 1996; Li, 2006; Zhang et al., 2009, 2010, 2014; Zhou et al., 2010, 2015; Tang et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2013, 2014, 2015; Zhao et al., 2017), (ii) the subduction of the Paleo-Pacific Plate (Lin et al., 1998; Li et al., 1999; Wu et al., 2000, 2004, 2007b; Jia et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2004; Shen et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2009, 2013), and (iii) subduction and collision between the North China Craton (NCC) and the Yangtze Craton (YC) (Yang et al., 2007; Pei et al., 2008). Within such tectonic scenarios, the northeastern NCC (as a component of the East Asian continental margin; Fig. 1) is a key area for deciphering the spatiotemporal Mesozoic tectonic evolution at a regional scale.

Two main aspects are still debated in the literature regarding the Mesozoic tectonic evolution of the northeastern NCC: (1) the timing of the final closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean and (2) the timing of onset of the subduction of the Paleo-Pacific Plate beneath Eurasia. Up to now, various opinions exist regarding the closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean, referred to the late Permian (JBGMR, 1988; Shi, 2006), early–middle Permian to Middle Triassic (Z. J. Wang et al., 2015), and Early–Middle Triassic (Sun et al., 2004; Li et al., 2007; Cao et al., 2013), respectively. Similarly, regarding the timing of subduction onset of the Paleo-Pacific Plate beneath Eurasia, multiple time windows have been proposed so far,
including the early Permian (Ernst et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015b; Bi et al., 2016), Late Triassic (Wu et al., 2011; Wilde and Zhou, 2015), latest Triassic to Early Jurassic (Zhou and Li, 2017), and Early Jurassic (Xu et al., 2012, 2013; Guo, 2016; Tang et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017). These contrasting reconstructions were mainly derived from studies of the Mesozoic igneous rocks (especially granitoids) in northeastern China (Li et al., 1999; Wu et al., 2002, 2007a, b; Ge et al., 2007; Zhao and Zhang, 2011; Xu et al., 2012, 2013; Dong et al., 2014, 2016; Yang et al., 2015a, b, 2016).

Basin stratigraphy and sedimentary provenance studies may provide direct supplementary (and possibly alternative) evidence for reconstructing the regional tectonic and paleogeographic evolution during the early Mesozoic. Some examples on reconstructing the paleotectonic setting by studying the evolution of sedimentary basins have been carried out in the northern and western–central NCC (Meng, 2003; Meng et al., 2011, 2014; Li and Huang, 2013; Li et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2016; Meng, 2017), but the formation timing, evolution, and tectonic setting of the early Mesozoic strata in the northeastern NCC remain poorly constrained.

The northeastern NCC contains a series of early Mesozoic faulted basins (referred to as the Fusong–Changbai Basin Group; JBGMR, 1976; Fig. 1) that are filled by volcanics and coal-bearing clastic sediments. The sedimentary from this basins has the potential to decode the tectonic evolution of the northeastern NCC in the frame of the Mesozoic regional geodynamic scenario.

The establishment of the Mesozoic stratigraphic framework of the northeastern NCC is based primarily on lithostratigraphic correlation (JBGMR, 1963, 1976, 1988, 1997). The lack of precise age data has resulted in some uncertainties regarding the sedimentary strata in the northeastern NCC, including (1) the timing of deposition, (2) the lithostratigraphic framework, (3) the sediment source for the basins, and (4) the relationship between basin evolution and the regional tectonic setting.

In this contribution, we focus on the sedimentary strata from the basins in the northeastern NCC (Figs. 1–2) and use U–Pb geochronology and Hf isotopic systematics from detrital and magmatic zircons, combined with available biostratigraphic data to constrain the timing of deposition and the provenance of the early Mesozoic strata and to establish a new stratigraphic framework for the northeastern NCC. Provenance analysis provides new insights into the paleotectonic evolution and reveals the early Mesozoic evolution of multiple tectonic regimes in the northeastern NCC.

2 Geological background

The study area is located in the northeastern NCC at the intersection between three main tectonic domains (Fig. 1): the eastern Central Asian Orogenic Belt (CAOB) to the north, the Su–Lu Orogenic Belt to the south, and the Paleo-Pacific Orogen to the east. The eastern CAOB, which is also referred to as the Xing’an–Mongolia Orogenic Belt (XMOB), consists of a collage of microcontinental massifs, which are (from southeast to northwest) the Khanka, Jiamusi, Songnen–Zhangguangcai Range, Xing’an, and Erguna massifs (Sengör and Natal’in, 1996; Li et al., 1999; Jahn et al., 2004; Li, 2006; Fig. 1). The Su–Lu Orogenic Belt and its eastward extension, the Jing–Ji Orogenic Belt (JJOB) in Korea, formed during the early Mesozoic subduction and collision between the NCC and YC.

The tectonic evolution of the northeastern NCC is characterized by early Paleozoic arc–continent collision (Pei et al., 2014), late Paleoasozoic subduction of the Paleo-Asian oceanic plate beneath the NCC (Cao et al., 2012), and middle–late Permian to Middle Triassic closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean (Sun et al., 2004; H. Y. Li et al., 2009; Z. J. Wang et al., 2015). During the early Mesozoic, the northeastern NCC was influenced by subduction, collision, and subsequent rapid exhumation between the NCC and YC (Zheng et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2007; Pei et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2009). The subduction of the Paleo-Pacific Plate beneath Eurasia controlled the Mesozoic tectonic evolution of the East Asian continental
margin (Xu et al., 2013). The Dunhua–Mishan and Yitong–Yilan faults occur in the northwestern part of the study area.

The northeastern NCC is composed primarily of Archean and Paleoproterozoic metamorphic basement (including the An’shan, Ji’an, and Laoling groups), Neoproterozoic and Paleozoic sedimentary cover sequences, and several Mesozoic basins (referred to as the Fusong–Changbai Basin Group) (Fig. 1). Neoproterozoic strata comprise sandstone and limestone with minor stromatolites. Cambrian–Middle Ordovician strata are mainly epicontinental carbonate sediments, whereas late Carboniferous to early Permian units are characterized by marine and coal-bearing terrestrial sequences that are unconformably overlain by Mesozoic volcano-sedimentary formations. The region lacks Silurian–Devonian and early Carboniferous strata due to the uplift of the craton during the middle Paleozoic (SBGMR, 1989). Cenozoic basalts are common in the eastern part of the study area (JBGMR, 1988, 1997; Fig. 2).

The interior of the NCC contains widespread Neoarchean to Paleoproterozoic magmatic rocks (Wu et al., 2007b) but lacks evidence of late Neoproterozoic or Paleozoic magmatism (except for early Paleozoic kimberlite) (JBGMR, 1988; LBGMR, 1989; IMBGMR, 1991). However, the northeastern margin of the NCC contains abundant Paleozoic igneous rocks (Zhang et al., 2004, 2010; Cao et al., 2013; Pei et al., 2016). In the northeastern NCC, Paleozoic igneous rocks are concentrated to the north of the study area and are characterized by negative ($-20$ to $-2$) and positive ($0$ to $+17$, with minor $-2$ to $0$) $\varepsilon$Hf(t) values within the NCC and XMOB (Yang et al., 2006), respectively. The northern NCC contains Ordovician (467 Ma, dated by laser ablation–inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometry, LA–ICP–MS) medium-K calc-alkaline pyroxene andesites and middle Permian (270 Ma, LA–ICP–MS) garnet-bearing monzogranites with zircon $\varepsilon$Hf(t) values of $-5.96$ to $-2.43$ (Pei et al., 2016) and $-17.1$ to $-14.1$ (Cao et al., 2013), respectively. In contrast, the XMOB contains Late Cambrian (493 Ma, LA–ICP–MS) low-K tholeiitic meta-diabase and late Permian (260 Ma, LA–ICP–MS) biotite monzogranite that yield zircon $\varepsilon$Hf(t) values of $+9.42$ to $+14.89$ (Pei et al., 2016) and $+8.31$ to $+9.80$ (Z. J. Wang et al., 2015), respectively.
Mesozoic magmatism was widespread along the East Asian continental margin (including the northeastern NCC and eastern XMOB). Within the northeastern NCC, Mesozoic magmatism occurred during the Late Triassic, Early Jurassic, Late Jurassic, Early Cretaceous, and Late Cretaceous (Yu et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2017). Mesozoic igneous rocks in the NCC and XMOB yield negative (~20 to ~2) and positive (0 to +17, with few values of ~2 to 0) zircon εHf(t) values (Yang et al., 2006; Pei et al., 2008; Z. J. Wang et al., 2015), respectively.

Mesozoic strata are well preserved and exposed in the study area and are characterized by coal-bearing volcanosedimentary formations containing abundant animal and plant fossils. Studies of Mesozoic strata in the northeastern NCC and the establishment of their lithostratigraphic sequence began during geological surveying in the 1960s–1970s. These strata were then reclassified, and a general lithostratigraphic sequence was established by JBGMR (1997). Early Mesozoic strata in the study area include, from bottom to top, the Heisonggou, Changbai, Xiaoyingzi, and Yihe formations (Fig. 3). However, the timing of deposition and the stratigraphic sequence of these Triassic–Early Jurassic formations are based mainly on lithostratigraphic correlations and remain debated. The Fusong–Changbai Basin Group represents a series of small- to medium-sized basins in the northeastern NCC. In this study, we focus on three small basins (from north to south): the Fusong, Yihe, and Yantonggou basins (Figs. 2–3). The Fusong Basin is located around Songshu Village and is filled by the Late Triassic Xiaoyingzi Formation (“Formation” is hereafter abbreviated to “Fm”), which is overlain by the Early Cretaceous Guosong Fm. The Yihe Basin, located around Yihe Village, is filled by the Late Triassic Changbai and Early Jurassic Yihe Formations. The Yantonggou Basin is located around Heisonggou Village and is filled by the Early Triassic Heisonggou Fm. These stratotypes represent the early Mesozoic sedimentary sequence of the Fusong–Changbai Basin Group and record deposition controlled by the tectonics of the northeastern NCC. The lithostratigraphic units are described in detail below (Fig. 3).

The Heisonggou Formation comprises conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone, and shale and contains plant fossils. The stratotype is exposed in Heisonggou Village, strikes E–W, and extends westward into Korea (Fig. 2, Sect. 3). The stratotype exhibits faulted contacts with the underlying Mesoproterozoic strata (JBGMR, 1963, 1976). The basal conglomerate contains clasts of quartzite, marble, phyllite, and schist derived from the underlying Mesoproterozoic units. Sandstone, siltstone, and shale are concentrated within the middle and upper strata. The upper part of the section is intruded by andesites (Fig. 4).

The Changbai Formation comprises a lower member of andesites and andesitic volcanics and an upper member of rhyolite and rhyolitic volcanics. The stratotype is located in Naozhi Village. The formation overlies Paleoproterozoic strata with a faulted contact and is unconformably overlain by the Early Jurassic Yihe Fm (JBGMR, 1997). The formation strikes E–W and is also observed in Naozhi and Yihe villages (Fig. 2, Sect. 5).

The Xiaoyingzi Formation comprises a ~30 m thick lower member of conglomerate and sandstone (representing a complete depositional cycle) and an upper member of sandstone, siltstone, shale, mudstone, and coal. The conglomerate contains clasts of stromatolite-bearing dolomite that were sourced primarily from Neoproterozoic units. Diabase porphyry commonly intrudes along bedding planes. A thin layer of tuffaceous siltstone occurs in the middle of the section, and abundant plant and animal fossils are preserved within the middle and upper parts of the formation (Fig. 5). Volcanics and organic-rich beds have been used to constrain the age of the Xiaoyingzi Fm. The stratotype is exposed in Xiaoyingzi Village, and the strata strike NW–SE (Fig. 2, Sect. 1). The base of the section is not exposed and the formation is overlain by Early Cretaceous volcanics of the Guosong Fm (JBGMR, 1963, 1976; Fig. 5).

The Yihe Formation comprises dominantly conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone, shale, coal, and minor tuffaceous siltstone (JBGMR, 1976, 1997, 1998; Fig. 6). It unconformably overlies andesites of the Late Triassic Changbai Fm, which is present as gravels within the basal conglomerate of the Yihe Fm, thereby illustrating a conformable relationship between the two formations. The stratotype is exposed in Yihe Village and the strata strike E–W (Fig. 2, Sect. 2).

3 Materials and methods

The Fusong–Changbai Basin Group studied here is chosen from a series of early Mesozoic faulted basins in the northeastern NCC. Three stratotypes of the early Mesozoic sedimentary strata were studied, which are exposed in Xiaoyingzi (Xiaoyingzi Fm: 42°01′54.26″ N, 127°10′03.89″ E), Naozhi (Yihe Fm: 41°56′41.17″ N, 127°04′05.67″ E), and Heisonggou (Heisonggou Fm: 41°47′34.79″ N, 126°58′33.10″ E) villages (see Sect. 2 for further details).

Stratigraphic logs and zircon (detrital and magmatic as recovered from volcanic strata) U–Pb geochronology and Hf isotopic systematics are used to study the age and provenance of the sedimentary strata. The research rationale is based on the following steps: (1) the stratotypes were measured in the field to understand the lithostratigraphic succession and to guide the sampling; (2) detrital and magmatic zircon geochronological data, combined with biostratigraphic data from previous studies, and stratigraphic correlation are used to establish a new stratigraphic framework for the northeastern NCC; (3) detrital zircon age distributions and Hf isotopic compositions are used to identify the source of sedimentary strata; and (4) based on provenance data, we reconstruct and discuss the paleogeography and evolution of multiple tectonic regimes in the northeastern NCC.
3.1 Sample descriptions

For zircon U–Pb dating, we collected detrital and magmatic zircons from 10 early Mesozoic sandstone and igneous samples (Fig. 3). Three samples were collected from the Heisonggou Fm, one from the Changbai Fm, three from the Xiaoyingzi Fm, two from the Yihe Fm, and one from the Guosong Fm, which overlies the Xiaoyingzi Fm. Details of their stratigraphy and petrography are presented in Figs. 4–7 and described below.

Sample 16LJ6-1 is a medium-grained feldspathic quartz sandstone from the lower Heisonggou Fm (Fig. 4). The sample is gray–white in color, exhibits clastic texture, and shows bedded structure. Grains are 0.3–0.6 mm in size and comprise plagioclase and alkali feldspar (~14 vol%), quartz (~78 vol%), lithic fragments (~2 vol%), and matrix (~5 vol%) (Fig. 7a).

Sample 15LJ4-11 is a fine-grained feldspathic quartz sandstone from the upper Heisonggou Fm. The sample is gray–white in color, exhibits clastic texture, and shows bedded structure. Grains are angular–subangular and 0.1–0.2 mm in size, comprising plagioclase and alkali feldspar (~13 vol%), quartz (~78 vol%), lithic fragments (~2 vol%), and calcareous cement (~6 vol%) (Fig. 7b).

Sample 15LJ4-6 is an andesite that intrudes into the Heisonggou Fm (Fig. 4). It is light gray–green in color, displays porphyritic texture, and shows massive structure (Fig. 7c).

Sample 15JSF1-1 is a medium-grained feldspathic quartz sandstone from the lower Xiaoyingzi Fm (Fig. 5). It is yellow–white in color, displays clastic texture, and shows bedded structure. Grains are 0.4–0.8 mm in size and comprise feldspar (~12 vol%), quartz (~78 vol%), lithic fragments (~2 vol%), and matrix (~5 vol%) (Fig. 7d).

Sample 15JSF2-1 was collected from a diabase porphyrite intruding the Xiaoyingzi Fm (Fig. 5). It is gray–green in color, displays porphyritic texture, and shows mas-
Figure 4. Stratotype outcrop of the Heisonggou Formation and sampling locations. The location of the stratotype is indicated by the dotted line in Fig. 2 (Sect. 3). The numbers in circles show the sequence of beds in the section. The ages refer to the youngest zircon age populations of samples.

Figure 5. Stratotype outcrop of the Xiaoyingzi Formation and sampling locations. The location of the stratotype is indicated by the dotted line in Fig. 2 (Sect. 1). The numbers in circles indicate the sequence of beds in the section. The ages refer to the youngest zircon age populations of samples. The dotted line represents vegetation cover.
sive structure. The phenocrysts are dominantly plagioclase (∼5 vol %), and the groundmass displays pilotaxitic texture (Fig. 7e).

Sample 16LJ8-1 is a tuffaceous siltstone from the middle Xiaoyingzi Fm (Fig. 5). The sample is white in color, displays clastic texture, and shows bedded structure.

Sample 15JFS10-1 is a pyroxene andesite that unconformably overlies the Xiaoyingzi Fm. (Fig. 5). The andesite belongs to the Guosong Fm and is gray–green in color, displays porphyritic texture, and shows massive structure (Fig. 7f).

Sample 16LJ1-1 is an andesite from the Changbai Fm, which underlies the Yihe Fm. The andesite is gray–green in color, displays porphyritic texture, and shows massive structure (Fig. 7g).

Sample 15LJ1-2 is a fine-grained feldspathic quartz sandstone from the middle Yihe Fm (Fig. 6). It is gray–white in color, displays clastic texture, and shows bedded structure. The rock comprises plagioclase and alkali feldspar (∼13 vol %), quartz (∼78 vol %), lithic fragments (∼3 vol %), and matrix (∼5 vol %).

Sample 16LJ3-1 is a tuffaceous siltstone from the upper Yihe Fm. It is white in color, displays clastic texture, and shows bedded structure (Fig. 7h).

3.2 Analytical methods

3.2.1 Zircon U–Pb dating

Zircons were separated from samples using conventional heavy liquid and magnetic techniques and purified by handpicking under a binocular microscope at the Langfang Yantuo Geological Survey, Langfang, Hebei Province, China. The handpicked zircons were examined under transmitted and reflected light using an optical microscope, and cathodoluminescence (CL) images were obtained to reveal their internal structures, using a JEOL (Japan Electron Optics Laboratory) scanning electron microscope housed at the State Key Laboratory of Continental Dynamics, Northwest University, Xi’an China. Based on CL images, distinct domains within the zircons were selected for analysis. An Agilent 7500a ICP–MS equipped with a 193 nm laser, housed at the State Key Laboratory of Geological Processes and Mineral Resources, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China, was used to measure the U–Pb ages of zircons. Zircon 91500 was used as external standard for age calibration and the National Institute of Standards and Technology Certificate of Analysis Standard silicate glass (NIST 610) was used for instrument optimization. The spot diameter was 32 µm. For details of the instrumental parameters and procedures, see Yuan et al. (2004). The ICPMSDataCal 7.0 and Isoplot 3.0 (Ludwig, 2003) programs were used for data analysis and plotting. Correction for common Pb was made fol-
Figure 7. Photomicrographs of representative early Mesozoic samples (cross-polarized light). (a) Sample 16LJ6-1, a medium-grained feldspathic quartz sandstone of the Heisonggou Fm; (b) sample 15LJ4-11, a fine-grained feldspathic quartz sandstone of the Heisonggou Fm; (c) sample 15LJ4-6, an andesite that intrudes into the Heisonggou Fm; (d) sample 15JFS1-1, a medium-grained feldspathic quartz sandstone of the Xiaoyingzi Fm; (e) sample 15JFS2-1, a diabase porphyrite that intrudes into the Xiaoyingzi Fm; (f) sample 15JFS10-1, a pyroxene andesite of the Guosong Fm; (g) sample 16LJ1-1, an andesite of the Changbai Fm; (h) sample 16LJ3-1, a tuffaceous siltstone of the Yihe Fm. Af: alkali feldspar; Pl: plagioclase; Px: pyroxene; Q: quartz.
lowing Andersen (2002). Errors on individual LA–ICP–MS analyses are quoted at the 1σ level, while errors on pooled ages are quoted at the 95% (2σ) confidence level. The dating results are presented in Table S1 in the Supplement.

Due to the paucity of zircons recovered from samples 15JFS2-1 (diabase porphyrite) and 15JFS10-1 (pyroxene andesite), analysis of these two samples was conducted using a Cameca 1280 secondary ion mass spectrometer (SIMS) at the Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, using operating and data processing procedures similar to those described by X. H. Li et al. (2009).

3.2.2 Hf isotopic analyses

In situ zircon Hf isotope analyses were conducted using a Neptune Plus multi-collector (MC)–ICP–MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany) equipped with a 193 nm excimer ArF laser ablation system (Lambda Physik, Göttingen, Germany) at the State Key Laboratory of Geological Processes and Mineral Resources, China University of Geosciences, Laser ablation analyses used an energy density of 5.3 J cm$^{-2}$. Helium was used as the carrier gas within the ablation cell and was merged with argon (makeup gas) after the ablation cell. A simple Y-junction downstream from the sample was used to add small amounts of nitrogen (4 mL min$^{-1}$) to the argon makeup gas flow (Hu et al., 2008a, b). Compared with the standard arrangement, the addition of nitrogen, in combination with the use of a newly designed X skimmer and Jet sample cones in the Neptune Plus instrument, improved the signal intensities of Hf, Yb, and Lu by factors of 5.3, 4.0, and 2.4, respectively. All data were acquired in single-spot ablation mode with a 44µm spot size. Each measurement consisted of 20 s of acquisition of the background signal followed by 50 s of ablation signal acquisition. For further details of the operating conditions for the laser ablation system and the MC–ICP–MS instrument and analytical procedures followed, see Hu et al. (2012). The dating results are presented in Table S2.

4 Analytical results

4.1 Zircon U–Pb dating

4.1.1 Heisonggou Formation

Zircons from sample 16LJ6-1 are euhedral–subhedral, display fine oscillatory growth zoning in CL images (Fig. 8a), and yield Th/U values of 0.07–1.31, indicating a magmatic origin (Corfu et al., 2003). A total of 68 spots provide ages ranging from 2485 to 248 Ma (ages of > 1000 Ma use $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb ages, whereas ages of < 1000 Ma use $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U ages), yielding four major age populations with weighted-mean ages of 252 ± 1 Ma (MSWD, mean standard weighted deviation $= 1, n = 21$), 293 ± 2 Ma (MSWD $= 1.2, n = 10$), 323 ± 2 Ma (MSWD $= 0.39, n = 15$), and 2402 ± 12 Ma (MSWD $= 8.5, n = 14$) (Fig. 9a). Other grains yield ages of 339 (two grains), 594, 1757, 1791, 2006, 2075, and 2171 Ma (Table S1). The youngest zircon age population of 252 ± 1 Ma constrains the maximum depositional age of this sample (i.e., the medium-grained feldspathic quartz sandstone was deposited after ~252 Ma).

Zircon grains from sample 15LJ4-11 are euhedral–subhedral, display fine oscillatory growth zoning in CL images (Fig. 8b), and yield Th/U values of 0.01–4.31. Some grains are round in shape and exhibit a core–rim texture. A total of 72 spots provide ages ranging from 2832 to 248 Ma and nine age populations with weighted-mean ages of 253 ± 3 Ma (MSWD $= 0.4, n = 7$), 270 ± 3 Ma (MSWD $= 0.24, n = 7$), 304 ± 3 Ma (MSWD $= 0.38, n = 5$), 323 ± 3 Ma (MSWD $= 0.12, n = 6$), 360 ± 5 Ma (MSWD $= 0.35, n = 3$), 382 ± 7 Ma (MSWD $= 0.03, n = 3$), 1845 ± 9 Ma (MSWD $= 1.7, n = 14$), 2337 ± 23 Ma (MSWD $= 4.8, n = 4$), and 2504 ± 8 Ma (MSWD $= 4.0, n = 20$) (Fig. 9b). Other grains yield ages of 426, 2152, and 2838 Ma (Table S1). The youngest zircon age population of 253 ± 3 Ma represents the maximum depositional age of the fine-grained feldspathic quartz sandstone.

Zircons from sample 15LJ4-6 are euhedral–subhedral and display fine oscillatory growth zoning in CL images (Fig. 8c), with Th/U values of 0.07–1.03. A total of 20 spots provide ages ranging from 2435 to 243 Ma, with two age populations with weighted-mean ages of 246 ± 2 Ma (MSWD $= 1.6, n = 8$) and 297 ± 3 Ma (MSWD $= 2.2, n = 6$) (Fig. 9c). Other grains yield ages of 268, 313, 1788, 1819, 2411, and 2435 Ma (Table S1). The mean age of 246 ± 2 Ma is interpreted as the crystallization age of the andesite, whereas the other ages are interpreted as captured zircons.

4.1.2 Changbai Formation

Zircon grains from the andesite (sample 16LJ1-1) are euhedral–subhedral and display typical oscillatory growth zoning in CL images (Fig. 8d), with Th/U values of 0.46–1.06. Twenty-five analyses yield a weighted-mean $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U age of 227 ± 1 Ma (MSWD $= 0.74, n = 25$) (Fig. 9d; Table S1), which is interpreted as the crystallization age of the andesite, whereas the other ages are interpreted as captured zircons.

4.1.3 Xiaoyingzi Formation

Zircons from sample 15JFS1-1 are euhedral–subhedral and show fine oscillatory growth zoning in CL images, with Th/U values of 0.05–1.58. Some rare grains are rounded in shape and display fine oscillatory zoning in CL images (Fig. 8e). A total of 79 spots provide ages ranging from 3285 to 220 Ma, yielding five age populations with weighted-mean ages of 224±3 Ma (MSWD = 0.39, $n = 7$), 232±5 Ma (MSWD = 0.27, $n = 3$), 257±5 Ma (MSWD = 2.3, $n = 3$), 1880±3 Ma (MSWD = 2.9, $n = 42$), and 1982±21 Ma
Figure 8. Cathodoluminescence (CL) images of selected zircon grains from early Mesozoic strata. White circles indicate the locations of U–Pb dating analyses, and blue circles show the locations of in situ Hf analyses. Values under and above the images indicate zircon U–Pb ages and measured $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values, respectively.

(MSWD = 1.2, $n = 4$) (Fig. 9e). Other grains yield ages of 275, 277, 294, 296, 315, 364, 369, 392, 397, 433, 453, 496, 569, 691, 708, 1768, 2242, 2434, 2500, and 3285 Ma (Table S1). The youngest zircon age population of 224 ± 3 Ma constrains the maximum depositional age of the medium-grained feldspathic quartz sandstone.

Zircons from the diabase porphyrite (sample 15JFS2-1) are euhedral–subhedral and display mainly oscillatory growth zoning, although some grains exhibit core–rim structures (Fig. 8f). A total of 17 spots provide ages ranging from 2516 to 111 Ma, with a peak zircon age population at 113 ± 2 Ma (MSWD = 3.4, $n = 5$) (Fig. 9f). Other grains yield ages of 173, 218, 270, 332, 744, 1862, 1884, 1887, 1891, 2059, 2412, and 2516 Ma (Table S1). The youngest weighted-mean $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age of 113 ± 2 Ma is considered to represent the age of intrusion of the diabase porphyrite.

Zircon grains from the tuffaceous siltstone (sample 16LJ8-1) are typically euhedral–subhedral and display fine oscillatory growth zoning in CL images, although some grains are rounded or subrounded (Fig. 8g). Zircons yield Th/U values of 0.04–1.2. A total of 65 spots provide ages ranging from 2602 to 223 Ma, defining six zircon age populations with weighted-mean ages of 227 ± 2 Ma (MSWD = 4.1, $n = 3$), 259 ± 2 Ma (MSWD = 4.5, $n = 5$), 1770 ± 9 Ma (MSWD = 1.9, $n = 18$), 1831 ± 9 Ma (MSWD = 2.1, $n = 16$), 2212 ± 18 Ma (MSWD = 7.7, $n = 5$), and 2486 ± 15 Ma (MSWD = 8.3, $n = 7$) (Fig. 9g). Other grains yield ages of 240 (two grains), 316, 330, 505, 914, 1469, 1950, 2023, 2061, and 2602 Ma (Table S1). The youngest $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age of 223 ± 2 Ma is interpreted as the maximum depositional age of the tuffaceous siltstone.

4.1.4 Yihe Formation

Zircon grains from sample 15LJ1-2 are primarily euhedral–subhedral and display fine oscillatory zoning in CL images,
Figure 9. U–Pb concordia diagram for zircon grains from the sedimentary and igneous rocks within early Mesozoic strata.

whereas other grains are rounded and display oscillatory zoning (Fig. 8h), which, together with their Th/U values of 0.11–1.72, indicates a magmatic origin (Table S1). A total of 77 spots provide ages ranging from 2472 to 177 Ma, yielding six zircon age populations with weighted-mean ages of 184 ± 2 Ma (MSWD = 1.7, n = 7), 233 ± 3 Ma (MSWD = 0.82, n = 7), 245 ± 1 Ma (MSWD = 1.17, n = 17), 254 ± 2 Ma (MSWD = 0.72, n = 20), 266 ± 2 Ma (MSWD = 1, n = 11), and 1831 ± 17 Ma (MSWD = 9.9, n = 4) (Fig. 9h). Other grains yield ages of 191, 200, 211, 286, 293, 323, 363, 460,
1468, 2471, and 2472 Ma (Table S1). These results indicate that the fine-grained feldspathic quartz sandstone was deposited after 184 Ma.

Zircon grains from sample 16LJ3-1 are typically euhedral–subhedral and display fine oscillatory growth zoning in CL images (Fig. 8i), which, together with their Th/U values of 0.26–1.16, are indicative of a magmatic origin. A total of 65 spots provide ages ranging from 2477 to 178 Ma, yielding three zircon age populations with weighted-mean ages of 182 ± 1 Ma (MSWD = 3.3, n = 20), 253 ± 1 Ma (MSWD = 0.74, n = 33), and 1831 ± 20 Ma (MSWD = 1.8, n = 4) (Fig. 9i). Other grains yield ages of 212, 222, 237, 263, 276, 340, 2457, and 2477 Ma (Table S1). The youngest age population of 182 ± 1 Ma represents the maximum depositional age of the tuffaceous siltstone.

4.1.5 Guosong Formation

Zircon grains from the pyroxene andesite (15JFS1-1) are euhedral–subhedral and display oscillatory growth zoning and striped absorption in CL images (Fig. 8j), with Th/U values of 0.19–2.05. A total of 14 spots provide ages ranging from 1647 to 112 Ma, defining two zircon age populations with weighted-mean ages of 113 ± 3 Ma (MSWD = 1.19, n = 2) and 227 ± 3 Ma (MSWD = 0.74, n = 7) (Fig. 9j). Other grains yield ages of 156, 425, 438, 946, and 1647 Ma (Table S1). The youngest age of 113 ± 3 Ma is interpreted as the crystallization age of the pyroxene andesite.

4.2 Zircon Hf isotopes

We performed in situ Hf isotopic analysis on the same spots as used for U–Pb dating on samples from the Heisonggou (15LJ4-11), Xiaoyingzi (15JFS1-1), and Yihe (15LJ1-2) formations. The results are listed in Table S2 and shown in Fig. 10.

4.2.1 Heisonggou Formation

We determined the Hf isotopic compositions of 22 detrital zircons from the Heisonggou Fm. Three zircon grains (∼13.6 %) with ages of 2513–2466 Ma yielded εHf(t) values of −4.2 to +4.9, four Paleoproterozoic (1862–1812 Ma) grains (∼18.2 %) yielded εHf(t) values of −1.9 to +0.2, and fifteen Phanerozoic (383–250 Ma) grains (∼68.2 %) yielded εHf(t) values of −16.3 to −7.0 (Table S2; Fig. 10a) and two-stage model (TDM2) ages of 2.3–1.7 Ga, similar to zircons from Phanerozoic igneous rocks in the NCC (Yang et al., 2006).

4.2.2 Xiaoyingzi Formation

We determined the Hf isotopic compositions of 25 detrital zircons with ages of 1928–221 Ma from the Xiaoyingzi Fm. Most zircon grains (∼88 %) yielded negative εHf(t) values of −21.9 to −0.2 and TDM2 ages of 2.7–1.6 Ga, whereas three zircon grains (∼12 %) with ages of 569, 496, and 453 Ma yielded positive εHf(t) values of +2.9 to +8.5 and TDM2 ages of 1.2–0.98 Ga (Table S2; Fig. 10b). Two grains within the latter, with ages of 708 and 691 Ma, yielded εHf(t) values of −10.9 and −6.1 and TDM2 ages of 2.3 and 2.0 Ga, respectively.

4.2.3 Yihe Formation

We determined the Hf isotopic compositions of 17 detrital zircons with ages of 255–177 Ma from the Yihe Fm. Most grains (∼88 %) yielded positive εHf(t) values of +2.4 to +12.6 and TDM2 ages of 1.1–0.4 Ga, whereas two zircon grains (∼12 %) with ages of 248 Ma yielded negative εHf(t) values of −5.8 and −1.9 and TDM2 ages of 1.6 and 1.4 Ga (Table S2; Fig. 10c).

5 Discussion

5.1 Age of early Mesozoic strata in the northeastern NCC

Here we combine the ages of youngest concordant detrital zircons, interbedded volcanic and intrusive rocks, and overlying strata, as well as the available biostratigraphic data, to constrain the ages of Mesozoic strata in the northeastern NCC.

5.1.1 Heisonggou Formation

The Heisonggou Fm was first defined in Heisonggou Village and was assigned to the Early Jurassic (JBGMR, 1963). It was subsequently reclassified as the Shiren Fm and assigned to the Early Cretaceous (JBGMR, 1997). Therefore, the age of the Heisonggou Fm remains uncertain. In this study, samples from the lower (16LJ6-1) and upper (15LJ4-11) Heisonggou Fm yield youngest zircon age populations of 252 ± 1 and 253 ± 3 Ma, respectively, indicating that deposition of the Heisonggou Fm occurred after 252 ± 1 Ma. Furthermore, andesite intruding this unit (Fig. 4, sample 15LJ4-6) yields a weighted-mean age of 246 ± 2 Ma, thereby constraining the deposition of the Heisonggou Fm to between 252 and 246 Ma. This Early Triassic age contrasts with the previously proposed Early Jurassic (JBGMR, 1963) and Early Cretaceous (JBGMR, 1997) ages.

5.1.2 Changbai Formation

The Changbai Fm was first defined in Caiyuanzi and Ergulazi villages and was assigned to the Late Triassic. The formation comprises intermediate–acidic volcanics and has been reassigned into the Ergulazi and Naozhigou formations (JBGMR, 1976, 1997). Zircon U–Pb dating of andesite (16LJ1-1) from the Changbai Fm yielded a weighted-mean age of 252 ± 1 Ma.
Figure 10. Hf isotopic compositions of detrital zircon grains from early Mesozoic strata of the northeastern NCC. XMOB: Xing’an–Mongolia Orogenic Belt; YFTB: Yanshan Fold and Thrust Belt (Yang et al., 2006).

con $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age of 222 ± 1 Ma (Yu et al., 2009) from the Naozhigou Fm in the northern area of Caiyuanzi Village, we confirm that the Changbai Fm was deposited in the Late Triassic.

5.1.3 Xiaoyingzi Formation

The Xiaoyingzi Fm was first defined in Hengdaohezi and Xiaoyingzi villages and assigned to the Early Jurassic (JBGMR, 1971). It was later correlated with the Xiaohekou Fm and assigned to the Late Triassic (JBGMR, 1988, 1997). In contrast, JBGMR (2007) classified and placed it within the Yihe Fm and assigned an Early Jurassic age. Thus, the age of the Xiaoyingzi Fm remains controversial. Detrital zircon grains from the medium-grained feldspathic quartz sandstone (15JFS1-1) and tuffaceous siltstone (16LJ8-1) yielded youngest concordant ages of 224 ± 2 and 223 ± 2 Ma, respectively, indicating that the Xiaoyingzi Fm was deposited after ~223 Ma. Freshwater bivalve fossils (e.g., Ferganoconcha sp. and Sibireconcha sp.) in this formation belong to the Unio–Shaanxiconcha assemblage (Zhu, 1991; JBGMR, 1997), which is similar to assemblages found in Late Triassic strata in China, southern Australia, North America, and southern Africa (Zhu, 1991). Plant fossil assemblages (e.g., Glossophyllum–Neocalamites) in this formation are generally limited to the Late Triassic–Early Jurassic (JBGMR, 1997). We therefore conclude that the Xiaoyingzi Fm was deposited in the Late Triassic. The previously described “interbedded volcanic rocks” within the Xiaoyingzi Fm (JBGMR, 1971) are here reinterpreted as diabase porphyry (15JFS2-1), which was intruded at 113 ± 2 Ma. Zircon grains from the pyroxene andesite (15JFS10-1) of the Guosong Fm also yield an age of 113 ± 3 Ma. These results indicate that the Guosong Fm, which overlies the Xiaoyingzi Fm, and the diabase porphyry have similar ages and were produced during coeval magmatism.

5.1.4 Yihe Formation

The Yihe Formation was first defined in Naozhigou and Yihe villages and was assigned to the Early Jurassic (JBGMR, 1976). Previous studies referred to this unit as the Yantonggou and Shiren formations, which were assigned to the Late Jurassic and Early Cretaceous (EGJS, 1975), respectively. Detrital zircon grains from the fine-grained feldspathic quartz sandstone (15LJ1-2) and tuffaceous siltstone (16LJ3-1) yielded youngest age populations of 184 ± 2 and 182 ± 1 Ma, respectively. In addition, Early Jurassic plant fossils such as Cladophlebis ukienesis, Marattia hoerensis, and Pterophyllum propinquum are observed within the Yihe Fm (Si and Zhou, 1962). Based on these observations, combined with the absence of Middle–Late Jurassic strata in southern Jilin Province, we conclude that the Yihe Fm was deposited in the Early Jurassic.

Our new geochronological data, field relationships, and biostratigraphic data are used to establish a new early Mesozoic stratigraphic framework for the northeastern NCC (Fig. 3), which is summarized as follows. The Heisonggou Fm is assigned to the Early Triassic (252–246 Ma) based on the U–Pb ages of detrital and magmatic zircons. The Xiaoyingzi Fm is assigned to the Late Triassic based on zircon geochronological data and fossil assemblages. Deposition of this unit postdated the Changbai Fm. The Yihe Fm was deposited in the Early Jurassic, consistent with biostratigraphic data (Si and Zhou, 1962).

5.2 Stratigraphic correlation with early Mesozoic strata in the northern NCC

Triassic–Middle Jurassic strata are well preserved and exposed in the northern NCC (Meng, 2017) and were deposited within early Mesozoic basins in the Yinshan–Yanshan Orogenic Belt (e.g., the Beipiao, Xiabancheng, Jingxi, and Shiguaizi basins) (Fig. 11; Meng et al., 2018). The northern NCC shared a similar stratigraphic and sedimentological evolution to the interior of the craton during the Mesopro-
terozoic to Paleozoic, but has undergone a distinct evolution since the Mesozoic, involving alternating periods of contraction and extension (Davis et al., 2001; Cui et al., 2002).

Triassic–Middle Jurassic deposits in the northern NCC are dominated by volcano-sedimentary formations containing coal and abundant animal and plant fossils, and their stratigraphy, geochronology, and depositional processes are well constrained (Meng, 2003; Meng et al., 2011, 2014; Li and Huang, 2013; Li et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2016; Meng, 2017). Here, we determine the relationship between early Mesozoic strata in the northern and northeastern NCC.

The late Permian Tiechang Fm in the northeastern NCC is characterized by gray–purple coarse-grained sandstone, pebbly sandstone, siltstone, and shale, which is a similar lithological association to the late Permian strata of the northern NCC (e.g., the lower Tiechang Fm correlates with the Shihezi, Naobaogou, and Laowuopu formations; Fig. 11). In contrast, Early Triassic strata (e.g., the Heisonggou Fm) are only observed in the northeastern NCC. In the northern NCC, Middle Triassic strata are rare and only observed within the central area (e.g., the Xiabancheng Basin) and are characterized by sandstone, siltstone, and shale. Such strata are not observed in the northeastern NCC. Late Triassic strata (e.g., the Changbai and Xiaoyingzi formations) are commonly observed in the northeastern NCC and comprise intermediate–acidic volcanics and coal-bearing clastic sediments. In the northern NCC, coeval and comparable strata are represented by the Xiaolanwuo, Wuchang, and Shanggu formations in the Xiabancheng Basin, as well as the Yangcaogou Fm in the Beipiao Basin. For example, the Late Triassic Xiaoyingzi Fm in the northeastern NCC contains a similar lithological association and fossil assemblage to the Yangcaogou and Shanggu formations in the northern NCC. Furthermore, ages of volcanics in the Changbai Fm (227 and 222 Ma; Yu et al., 2009) are similar to those of volcanics in the Xiaolanwuo (225 ± 1 Ma) and Wuchang (227 ± 2 Ma) (Meng et al., 2018) formations in the Xiabancheng Basin. The Early Jurassic Yihe Fm in the northeastern NCC is comparable to the lower Beipiao, Xiahuayuan, lower Yaopo, and Zhaogou formations in the northern NCC, as indicated by the presence of similar coal-bearing layers and plant fossil assemblages (JBGMR, 1988; HBGMR, 1989; LBGMR, 1989; BBGMR, 1991; IMBGRM, 1991).

In summary, we suggest that similar late Paleozoic sedimentary successions (North China type) occur in both the northern and northeastern NCC. However, Early Triassic strata are only observed within the northeastern NCC. In contrast, Middle Triassic strata are observed within the northern NCC but are absent in the northeastern NCC. Similar Late Triassic sedimentary formations and contemporaneous volcanics are observed in the northern and northeastern NCC. Earliest Jurassic strata are absent in the northern and northeastern NCC, whereas middle–late Early Jurassic strata are widespread in both regions and are correlated based on coal-bearing layers and plant fossils (JBGMR, 1988; HBGMR, 1989; LBGMR, 1989; BBGMR, 1991; IMBGRM, 1991). Furthermore, regional unconformities are identified between late Permian and Triassic strata and Late Triassic and Jurassic strata in the northern and northeastern NCC (Fig. 11), respectively.

5.3 Provenance of early Mesozoic strata in the northeastern NCC

A generally accepted method to identify the source of sedimentary units is to compare zircon U–Pb age and Hf isotopic data with areas or units that may have supplied sediment to the region (Dickinson and Gehrels, 2008). Our 502 detrital zircon U–Pb analyses are grouped into three age populations: Neoarchean to Paleoproterozoic, Neoproterozoic, and Phanerozoic (Fig. 12). Magmatic zircon grains from igneous and sedimentary rocks within the northern margin of the NCC generally yield Neoarchean to Paleoproterozoic and late Paleozoic age populations (Yang et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2010), whereas igneous rocks and Paleozoic sediments from the southern margin of the XMOB contain mainly Phanerozoic and minor Neoproterozoic zircon grains (Meng et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2012, 2014). In addition, Phanerozoic zircons from the NCC typically yield negative $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values, whereas those from the southern margin of the XMOB typically yield positive $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values (Yang et al., 2006; Cao et al., 2013). These data are inevitably affected by the sampling strategy, so the given percentage data should be considered qualitatively rather than quantitatively.

5.3.1 Early Triassic Heisonggou Formation

Approximately 58% of detrital zircon grains from the Early Triassic Heisonggou Fm yield Neoarchean to Paleoproterozoic ages (2.8–1.8 Ga), forming two age peaks at $\sim 2.5$ and $\sim 1.8$ Ga, which are typical of the NCC (Ma and Wu, 1981; Zhao et al., 2001; Gao et al., 2004). In contrast, the $\sim 42$% magmatic zircon grains yield Phanerozoic ages (426–248 Ma). These Phanerozoic magmatic events are not recorded within the interior of the NCC (Yang et al., 2017) but are instead observed within the northern margin of the NCC (Zhang et al., 2004, 2010; Wu et al., 2011; Cao et al., 2013; Pei et al., 2014; Z. J. Wang et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016). These observations, combined with the negative $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values ($-16.3$ to $-7.0$) and two-stage model ($T_{\text{DM2}}$) ages of 2.3–1.7 Ga, indicate that all sedimentary material within this formation was sourced from the NCC.

In addition, detrital zircon grains (especially those with Phanerozoic ages) are euhedral–subhedral (with the exception of some rounded Neoarchean and Paleoproterozoic grains), indicating a proximal source. This interpretation is also supported by the short deposition time (between 252 and 246 Ma) of the Heisonggou Fm.
Based on the present distribution of the NCC basement and Phanerozoic igneous rocks in the northeastern NCC (JBGMR, 1988), we suggest that at least 42 % of the sediment was sourced from Phanerozoic igneous rocks along the northern margin of the NCC, to the north of the basin. In contrast, Neoarchean and Paleoproterozoic sediment was likely sourced from regions surrounding the basin.

5.3.2 Late Triassic Xiaoyingzi Formation

Approximately 71 % of detrital zircon grains from the Late Triassic Xiaoyingzi Fm yielded Neoarchean to Paleoproterozoic ages (with peaks at $\sim 2.50$ and $\sim 1.88$ Ga), indicating that they were sourced from the NCC (Gao et al., 2004). The other analyzed detrital zircon grains yielded $\sim 26$ % Phanerozoic (496–220 Ma) and $\sim 3$ % Neoproterozoic ages (914–569 Ma). Three zircon grains (with ages of 569, 496, and 453 Ma) yielded positive $\varepsilon_{Hf}(t)$ values (+2.9 to +8.5), whereas the other Phanerozoic and Neoproterozoic grains yielded negative $\varepsilon_{Hf}(t)$ values (−21.9 to −5.0), indicating that the former were sourced from the XMBO and the latter from the NCC (Yang et al., 2006; Cao et al., 2013; Pei et al., 2014).

All Phanerozoic zircons are euhedral–subhedral, suggesting the Xiaoyingzi Fm sediments were not transported over long distances. Combined with the observation of Phanerozoic and minor Neoproterozoic igneous rocks to the north of the basin (JBGMR, 1988), we conclude that $\sim 29$ % of sediments from the Xiaoyingzi Fm were sourced from an area to the north of the basin, with the remaining $\sim 71$ % sourced from regions surrounding the basin.
5.3.3 Early Jurassic Yihe Formation

Approximately 91% of detrital zircon grains from the Early Jurassic Yihe Fm yielded Phanerozoic ages (460–177 Ma), with the remaining ~9% yielding Neoarchean to Paleoproterozoic ages (peaks at ~2.5 and ~1.8 Ga). The former are consistent with Phanerozoic magmatism along the northern margin of the NCC and XMOB, whereas the latter are typical of the NCC interior (Gao et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2006; Cao et al., 2013; F. Wang et al., 2015). Of the 17 zircon grains analyzed with Phanerozoic ages, two grains (~12%) with ages of 248 Ma yielded $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values of $-5.8$ to $-1.9$, and the remaining 15 grains (~88%) yielded $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values of $+2.4$ to $+12.6$. We infer that the former, together with Neoarchean and Paleoproterozoic detrital zircon grains, were sourced from the NCC, whereas the latter were derived from the XMOB (Yang et al., 2006). Furthermore, all Phanerozoic zircon grains are euhedral–subhedral, indicating that the Phanerozoic sediments did not undergo long-distance transport. The age populations and $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values of the detrital zircon grains indicate that the Yihe Fm was sourced mainly from the XMOB to the north of the basin.

5.4 Implications for the early Mesozoic paleotectonic evolution of the northeastern NCC

The early Mesozoic tectonic evolution of the northeastern NCC was not only influenced by the subduction of the Paleo-Asian oceanic plate beneath the NCC and final closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean to the north (Zhang et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2011; Cao et al., 2013) but also by subduction and collision between the NCC and the YC to the south (Pei et al., 2008, 2011), as well as by the subduction of the Paleo-Pacific Plate beneath Eurasia to the east (Xu et al., 2013; Guo, 2016; Wang et al., 2017). However, the spatiotemporal extents of these influences and the timing of the final closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean and the onset of the subduction of the Paleo-Pacific Plate remain controversial (Sun et al., 2004; Shi, 2006; Ernst et al., 2007; Li et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2012, 2013; Cao et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017; Zhou and Li, 2017). Here we use provenance data and interpretations of changes in provenance within early Mesozoic strata of the northeastern NCC to reconstruct the early Mesozoic paleotectonic evolution of the northeastern NCC.

5.4.1 Early Triassic: southward subduction of the Paleo-Asian oceanic plate, and subduction and collision between the NCC and YC

Approximately 42% of the Early Triassic Heisonggou Fm sediments were sourced from the northern margin of the NCC; there is no evidence of XMOB-sourced grains. We therefore infer that southward subduction of the Paleo-Asian oceanic plate beneath the NCC was ongoing in the Early Triassic (i.e., final closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean had not yet occurred) (Cao et al., 2013; Z. J. Wang et al., 2015). Subduction resulted in uplift along the northern margin of the NCC, producing a paleogeographic highland that acted as a sediment source during deposition of the Heisonggou Fm (Fig. 13a). The remaining ~58% of sediments of the Heisonggou Fm were sourced from Neoarchean and Paleoproterozoic NCC basement, which is observed in areas sur-
ranging the basin, as well as to the south. We infer that the area to the south of the basin was uplifted in the Early Triassic, consistent with subduction and collision between the NCC and YC at this time (Pei et al., 2008, 2011; Liu et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2013). Thus, we conclude that southward subduction of the Paleo-Asian oceanic plate and subduction and collision between the NCC and YC resulted in the uplift of the northern and southeastern margins of the NCC, respectively. These uplifted highlands provided sediment source areas for the Heisonggou Fm (Figs. 11, 13a).

5.4.2 Late Triassic: final closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean and post-collisional exhumation of the Su–Lu Orogenic Belt

Zircon Hf isotopic compositions indicate that ~29% of sediments of the Late Triassic Xiaoyingzi Fm were sourced from areas to the north of the basin (~4% from the XMOB and ~25% from the northern margin of the NCC). The presence of XMOB material indicates that the Paleo-Asian Ocean had closed by the Late Triassic. We therefore suggest that final closure of the ocean occurred in the Middle Triassic, which is also supported by the occurrence of Middle Triassic syn-collisional granitoids in the Yanbian region (Wang et al., 2017), as well as the absence of Middle Triassic sedimentary strata in the northeast NCC (JBGMR, 1988). Approximately 71% of the Xiaoyingzi Fm zircon grains yield Neoarchean and Paleoproterozoic ages, indicating that they were sourced from the NCC basement. As the NCC basement crops out mainly to the south of the basin, we conclude that this region, which was still a highland at the time, provided the primary source during deposition of the Xiaoyingzi Fm. Furthermore, we suggest that rapid exhumation of ultrahigh-pressure metamorphic rocks within the Su–Lu Orogenic Belt in the Late Triassic (Zhao et al., 2001; Zheng et al., 2013) produced the inferred uplift in the region to the south of the basin (Figs. 11, 13b).

5.4.3 Early Jurassic: rapid uplift of the XMOB and onset of the subduction of the Paleo-Pacific Plate beneath Eurasia

The provenance of the Early Jurassic Yihe Fm changed rapidly from ~71% Neoarchean and Paleoproterozoic basement (the Late Triassic Xiaoyingzi Fm) from an area to the south of the basin in the Late Triassic to ~91% Phanerozoic rocks from an area to the north of the basin in the Early Jurassic. In addition, Hf isotopic compositions of Phanerozoic detrital zircon grains indicate that >88% of the grains were sourced from the XMOB. In other words, these data show that the sediments were sourced mainly from the NCC in the Early–Late Triassic and mainly from the XMOB in the Early Jurassic. This change in provenance can be directly explained by paleogeographic changes, indicating that rapid uplift of the XMOB occurred during the Early Jurassic (Figs. 11, 13c). In addition, the paleogeographic changes were possibly triggered by a change in tectonic domains, and the uplift in the northeastern NCC might also have been related to the initial subduction of the Paleo-Pacific Plate beneath Eurasia in the Early Jurassic. This interpretation is also supported by the presence of the Early Jurassic accretionary complex and Early Jurassic calc-alkaline igneous rocks in the eastern Asian continental margin. Firstly, the Early Jurassic igneous rocks in the eastern Asian continental margin (including eastern Heilongjiang–Jilin provinces, northeastern North Korea, and south Korea) belong to the calc-alkaline series (Xu et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Tang et al., 2018; Fig. 1), whereas the contemporaneous intracontinental igneous rocks (e.g., those of the Songnen–Zhangguangcai Range massif) consist of a bimodal igneous rock association (Yu et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2013). The former is consistent with an active continental margin setting (Gill, 1981), whereas the latter indicates an extensional environment (Yu et al., 2012). The polar variations in K2O contents of the continental margin to intracontinental Early Jurassic igneous rocks are indicative of the initial subduction of the Paleo-Pacific Plate beneath Eurasia (Xu et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2018). Secondly, the widespread occurrence of Early Jurassic accretionary complexes in the eastern Asian continental margin (including northeastern China, Japan, and the Russian Far East) also indicates the subduction of the Paleo-Pacific Plate beneath Eurasia (Wu et al., 2007a; Zhou et al., 2009; Fukuyama et al., 2013; Safonova and Santosh, 2014). Taken together, we conclude that the uplift of the northeastern NCC was related to the onset of the subduction of the Paleo-Pacific Plate beneath Eurasia in the Early Jurassic (Wang et al., 2016).

In summary, Early Triassic deposition in the northeastern NCC was controlled by the southward subduction of the Paleo-Asian oceanic plate, as well as by subduction and collision between the NCC and the YC (Fig. 13a). The absence of Middle Triassic strata in the northeastern NCC indicates the final closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean during this time, which is also supported by the provenance of the Late Triassic deposits. The presence of XMOB material in Late Triassic deposits suggests that the Paleo-Asian Ocean had closed by this time. Rapid exhumation of the Su–Lu Orogenic Belt may have resulted in the formation of a paleogeographic highland within the southeastern margin of the NCC (Fig. 13b). A sudden change in provenance was triggered by the rapid uplift of the XMOB in the Early Jurassic and was likely related to the onset of the subduction of the Paleo-Pacific Plate beneath Eurasia at this time (Fig. 13c).

6 Conclusions

Based on the U–Pb ages of detrital and magmatic zircons, detrital zircon Hf isotopic systematics, and biostratigraphic
Figure 13. Paleotectonic evolution of northeastern China in the early Mesozoic, showing the paleogeographic evolution and provenance of early Mesozoic basins. (a) Early Triassic: southward subduction of the Paleo-Asian oceanic plate and subduction and collision between the NCC and YC; (b) Late Triassic: final closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean and post-collisional exhumation of the Su–Lu Orogenic Belt; (c) Early Jurassic: rapid uplift of the XMOB and the onset of subduction of the Paleo-Pacific Plate beneath Eurasia.
records from early Mesozoic strata of the northeastern NCC, we draw the following conclusions.

1. The Early Triassic stratigraphic sequence of the northeastern NCC comprises, from bottom to top, the Early Triassic Heisonggou Formation (252–246 Ma), Late Triassic Changbai Formation (~227 Ma), Late Triassic Xiaoyingzi Formation, and Early Jurassic Yihe Formation.

2. The Early Triassic Heisonggou Formation was sourced from the NCC, with ~42% of sediment being derived from an area to the north of the basin and ~58% from the area surrounding the basin. Approximately 88% of sediments of the Late Triassic Xiaoyingzi Fm were sourced from the NCC, whereas the remaining ~12% were derived from the XMOB. In contrast, >88% of sediments of the Early Jurassic Yihe Fm were sourced from the XMOB, with only ~12% being sourced from the NCC.

3. Early Triassic deposition was controlled by both the southward subduction of the Paleo-Asian oceanic plate beneath the NCC and the northward subduction and collision between the NCC and YC. The Late Triassic deposition was possibly related to final closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean and rapid exhumation of the Su–Lu Orogenic Belt between the NCC and YC. The sudden change in provenance recorded by Early Jurassic sediments, together with the coeval calc-alkaline volcanism and accretionary complex, indicates the rapid uplift of the XMOB and the onset of the subduction of the Paleo-Pacific Plate beneath Eurasia in the Early Jurassic.

4. Final closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean likely occurred in the Middle Triassic, which is consistent with the lack of Middle Triassic strata in the northeastern NCC and the occurrence of Middle Triassic syn-collisional granitoids along the Changchun–Yanji Suture Belt.
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