Controls on fault zone structure and brittle fracturing in the foliated hanging wall of the Alpine Fault
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Abstract. Three datasets are used to quantify fracture density, orientation, and fill in the foliated hanging wall of the Alpine Fault: (1) X-ray computed tomography (CT) images of drill core collected within 25 m of its principal slip zones (PSZs) during the first phase of the Deep Fault Drilling Project that were reoriented with respect to borehole televiewer images, (2) field measurements from creek sections up to 500 m from the PSZs, and (3) CT images of oriented drill core collected during the Amethyst Hydro Project at distances of ~0.7–2 km from the PSZs. Results show that within 160 m of the PSZs in foliated cataclasites and ultramylonites, gouge-filled fractures exhibit a wide range of orientations. At these distances, fractures are interpreted to have formed at relatively high confining pressures and/or in rocks that had a weak mechanical anisotropy. Conversely, at distances greater than 160 m from the PSZs, fractures are typically open and subparallel to the mylonitic or schistose foliation, implying that fracturing occurred at low confining pressures and/or in rocks that were mechanically anisotropic. Fracture density is similar across the ~500 m width of the field transects. By combining our datasets with measurements of permeability and seismic velocity around the Alpine Fault, we further develop the hierarchical model for hanging-wall damage structure that was proposed by Townend et al. (2017). The wider zone of foliation-parallel fractures represents an “outer damage zone.” This zone is interpreted to extend towards the base of the seismogenic crust given that its width is comparable to (1) the Alpine Fault low-velocity zone detected by fault zone guided waves and (2) damage zones reported from other exhumed large-displacement faults. In summary, a narrow zone of fracturing at the base of the Alpine Fault’s hanging-wall seismogenic crust is anticipated to widen at shallow depths, which is consistent with fault zone flower structure models.

1 Introduction

Conceptual models of fault zone structure in the upper crust often invoke a relatively narrow “fault core” that accommodates most displacement, surrounded by a halo of heavily fractured rock termed the “damage zone” (Caine et al., 1996; Chester et al., 1993; Chester and Logan, 1986; Faulkner et al., 2010). These models have been successfully applied in a variety of tectonic settings and for a wide range of fault displacements and exhumation depths (e.g. Choi et al., 2016; Faulkner et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2004; Mitchell and Faulkner, 2009; Savage and Brodsky, 2011). However, the term “damage zone” has been applied by geologists and geophysicists to describe a variety of fault-related features, such as fractures and faults at stepovers and bends (Chester and Chester, 2000; Kim et al., 2004; Mitchell and Faulkner, 2009;...
Wilson et al., 2003), the volume of inelastic deformation that is induced by dynamic stresses during earthquake rupture propagation (Andrews, 2005; Cowie and Scholz, 1992; Rice et al., 2005; Templeton et al., 2008; Vermilye and Scholz, 1998), and the volume of rock in which earthquake swarms or foreshock and aftershock sequences are localised (Kim and Sanderson, 2008; Savage et al., 2017; Siobon, 1989; Yukutake et al., 2011). Furthermore, though damage zones are typically reported to be <1 km wide (Faulkner et al., 2011; Savage and Brodsky, 2011), co-seismic ground shaking can modify fracture permeability many hundreds of kilometres away from the fault source (Cox et al., 2015; Muir-Wood and King, 1993; O’Brien et al., 2016).

Brittle faults often develop in mylonite sequences or other (e.g. jointed) rocks that contain compositional and mechanical anisotropies (Bistacchi et al., 2012; Chester and Fletcher, 1997; Massironi et al., 2011). Evidence from field studies (Bistacchi et al., 2010; Peacock and Sanderson, 1992), experiments (Donath, 1961; Misra et al., 2015; Paterson and Wong, 2005), and numerical modelling (Chester and Fletcher, 1997) demonstrates that such anisotropy can significantly affect the orientation and density of brittle fractures. Despite this, “fault core–damage zone” models are based largely on field observations from relatively isotropic host rocks, and there have been comparatively few field studies (a notable exception being Bistacchi et al., 2010) that document the influence of mechanical anisotropy on patterns of brittle fracture damage in large-displacement faults.

In this contribution, multiple datasets across a range of scales were used to analyse fracture densities, orientations, and mineral fills across the hanging wall of the Alpine Fault’s central section. Measurements from within 25 m of the Alpine Fault principal slip zones (PSZs) were made from shallow (depths < 130 m) drill cores and wireline logs obtained during the first phase of the Deep Fault Drilling Project (DFDP-1). These are combined with field studies at distances < 500 m from the PSZs and analyses of drill core recovered at 0.7–2 km from the PSZs during the Amethyst Hydro Project (AHP). Results are then compared to measurements of hydraulic conductivity (Cox et al., 2015; Tonnend et al., 2017) and geophysical studies (Boese et al., 2012; Chamberlain et al., 2017; Eccles et al., 2015) around the...
Alpine Fault. In doing so, we critically assess the application of “damage zone” models to an active plate-boundary-scale structure. Furthermore, the Alpine Fault rapidly exhumes ductile-to-brittle fault rock sequences from depths of up to 35 km (Little et al., 2005; Norris and Toy, 2014). Fracturing in its hanging wall therefore overprints a 1–2 km wide mylonite sequence containing a pervasive foliation (Cooper and Norris, 1994; Norris and Cooper, 1997, 2007; Toy, 2008), and so can provide new insights into the relationships between fracturing and mechanical anisotropy.

2 Tectonic setting of the Alpine Fault

The Alpine Fault is a crustal-scale (along-strike extent \(\sim 850 \text{ km}, \text{ depth } \sim 35 \text{ km}\)) transpressive discontinuity accommodating \(\sim 70\%\) of Pacific–Australian plate motion in the South Island of New Zealand (DeMets et al., 1994; Norris and Cooper, 2001, Fig. 1a). This study focuses on the central section between the Toaroha and Martyr rivers (Barth et al., 2013) where it currently accommodates dextral strike slip at a rate of 27 \(\pm 5 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}\) and dip slip at a rate of 6–10 mm yr\(^{-1}\) (Little et al., 2005; Norris and Cooper, 2001).

In the central section at depths greater than 8–12 km, the Alpine Fault accommodates motion via viscous creep across a >1 km wide ductile shear zone in which the hanging-wall “Alpine Schist” protolith is progressively mylonitised (Norris and Cooper, 2007; Toy et al., 2010). Shear strains increase with proximity to the Alpine Fault and are recorded by protomylonites, mylonites, and ultramylonites, which occur in spatial sequence towards the fault (Fig. 2; Norris and Cooper, 2003; Reed, 1964; Toy et al., 2008). Foliation in the mylonite sequence is mainly defined by alternating quartzofeldspathic and mica-rich layers (Fig. 2). Bottle-green hornblende-rich metabasic mylonites and purple-dark grey mylonites that are comparatively mica rich are also present. Their presence reflects variations in protolith lithology (Cooper and Norris, 2011; Norris and Cooper, 2007; Sibson et al., 1981; Toy, 2008). As the mylonites in the hanging wall are exhumed to depths of less than 8–12 km, temperatures drop below those at which quartz plasticity occurs and brittle structures start to overprint the mylonitic shear zone (Norris and Cooper, 2007; Toy et al., 2010, 2015). This brittle overprint is reflected in the formation of a \(\sim 20 \text{ m} \) thick layer of green, indurated, and often foliated cataclasite (Allen et al., 2017; Toy et al., 2015), and a 10–50 cm thick clay-rich PSZ that is preserved adjacent to the currently active fault trace (Boulton et al., 2017, 2012; Ikari et al., 2014; Mitchell and Toy, 2014).

To the first order (i.e. at scales >10 km), the trace of the Alpine Fault is remarkably linear, with an average strike of 055°(Norris and Cooper, 2007). On the basis of geophysical imaging and measurements of the mylonitic foliation – which is thought to parallel the fault – it is estimated to dip at \(\sim 45°\) in its central section (Sibson et al., 1981; Stern et al., 2007), though this may locally exceed 60° (Little et al., 2005; Toy et al., 2017). At scales of 1–10 km, perturbations in the stress field induced by hanging-wall topography results in segmentation of the Alpine Fault. Segmentation is rooted to depths of 0.5–4 km and comprises kilometre-long, approximately E–W-striking and steeply dipping strike-slip fault strands, which adjoin NE–SW-striking, gently dipping (\(\sim 30°\)) thrust segments (Barth et al., 2012; Langridge et al., 2014; Norris and Cooper, 1995, 2007; Simpson et al., 1994; Upton et al., 2017).

3 Methods

3.1 Fracture orientations from DFDP-1 drill core

Hanging-wall fracture orientations immediately adjacent to the Alpine Fault’s PSZ were assessed through analysis of datasets arising from the first phase of the DFDP-1 (http://alpine.icdp-online.org, last access: 18 April 2018). DFDP-1 successfully sampled the Alpine Fault in two boreholes (DFDP-1A and DFDP-1B, Fig. 3) at depths of less than 150 m at Gaunt Creek (Fig. 1b, Sutherland et al., 2012). The geophysical properties of the DFDP-1 boreholes were characterised by a full suite of wireline logs (Townend et al., 2013). These were combined with visual descriptions of \(\sim 70 \text{ m}\) of core recovered across the two boreholes to construct a lithological classification scheme for DFDP-1 drill core (Fig. 3, Toy et al., 2015).

Abundant fractures were observed in X-ray computed tomography (CT) scans of DFDP-1 drill core (Williams et al., 2016). The true orientations of these fracture were obtained by generating “unrolled” CT images of individual core sections (Mills and Williams, 2017), which are directly analogous to geographically referenced – but lower resolution – borehole televiewer (BHTV) images. Where fractures could be matched between the two images, a rotation could
3.2 Field observations of fracture orientations and densities

At orthogonal distances of up to 150–250 m from the PSZs, fracture orientations and densities were measured in four creeks (Gaunt Creek, Stony Creek, Hare Mare Creek, and Havelock Creek; Fig. 1b) that cut across the hanging-wall sequence approximately perpendicular to the main fault trace (Fig. S1). Along each creek, fracture orientations and densities were measured at three or four stations. This information was also gathered at approximately 500 m from the Alpine Fault at Bullock Creek (Fig. 1b). Each creek transect cuts across a thrust segment of the Alpine Fault, so the orthogonal distance between the measuring stations and the PSZs was calculated assuming a fault dip of 30° (Norris and Cooper, 1995, 1997). The mylonite lithology for each station was classified using the scheme presented by Toy (2008).

The outcrops encountered along these transects are typically subvertical and may be covered by debris except at their bases where they are frequently cleaned by flood events (Fig. S2 in the Supplement). They are therefore poorly suited for fracture density analysis using circular scanlines (e.g. Mauldon et al., 2001). Instead, the fracture density was calculated from the number and orientation of fractures that intersected a linear transect along the base of each outcrop (Priest, 1993; Schulz and Evans, 2000). This technique has the tendency to under-sample fractures oriented at low angles to the scanline. Therefore, a weighting \( w \) factor calculated using a modified version of the Terzaghi correction (Massiot et al., 2015; Terzaghi, 1965) was applied to each fracture, and results are shown as “corrected” fracture density.

3.3 Fracture orientations in the Amethyst Hydro Project boreholes

The AHP was developed to divert water from the Amethyst Ravine down a 1040 m long tunnel to a powerhouse on the floodplain of the Wanganui River. Prior to the main phase of tunnelling, four exploratory boreholes (BH1–4; Figs. 1b and S3) were completed between 2005 and 2006, resulting in the recovery of ~890 m of drill core at depths of 50–200 m. The boreholes are situated 1–2 km southeast of a thrust segment of the Alpine Fault, where it may conceivably dip at a range of 30–60° (Norris and Cooper, 1995; Toy et al., 2017). The drill cores are therefore at orthogonal distances of ~0.7–2.0 km from the PSZs.

To provide a dataset analogous to the DFDP-1 CT scans, a total of 31.9 m of drill core from the AHP boreholes was CT scanned at the Southern Cross Hospital in Wellington, New Zealand. Initial descriptions of the drill core found that the rock quality designation (RQD, the percent of intact core lengths >100 mm per 1 m of drill core) varied considerably due to intense fracturing adjacent to the Tarpot Fault and other minor faults that intersect the AHP boreholes (McCahon, 2006; Savage, 2013). However, for practical reasons, scanning was focused on intervals of high RQD (Fig. S3). The CT scanner was operated at 100 mA and an X-ray tube voltage of 120 kVp. Slice spacing was 1.25 mm, field of view was 250 mm, and the image size was 512 × 512 pixels. Therefore, the size of one voxel is \( 0.488 \times 0.488 \times 1.25 \) mm in the \( x \), \( y \), and \( z \) directions, respectively. Reconstruction of two-dimensional CT slices into three-dimensional images of the drill core was performed using OsirIX Imaging Software (http://www.osirix-viewer.com/, last access: 18 April 2018).
AHP drill core was not oriented. However, the orientation of the schistosity is noted in the drill-core logs to an accuracy of ±5° (McCahon, 2006), where it is consistent with the schistosity orientation measured in the Amethyst Tunnel itself (Savage, 2013). It can thus be used as a reference to reorient drill-core CT scans back into a geographic reference frame. For BH2 and BH3 drill cores, which are vertical, this required only a single transformation. For the inclined BH1 and BH4 drill cores, this required first rotating the core with respect to the foliation. These orientations were then corrected for the inclination of the drill core using the Planes from Oriented and Navigated Drillcore (POND) Excel spreadsheet (Stanley and Hooper, 2003).

### 3.4 Statistical analysis of fracture orientations

The clustering intensity of fracture orientations was quantified using the resultant vector method of Priest (1993), where the vector for each fracture was weighted by the Terzaghi correction for misorientation bias (Massiot et al., 2015; Terzaghi, 1965). This analysis was performed only for the DFDP-1 and AHP datasets, which sampled a large population (>100) of fractures. Field-measuring stations sampled too few (<30) fractures to reliably perform this analysis, and so their clustering is described in a qualitative sense only.

### 4 Results

#### 4.1 Fracture orientations in DFDP-1 drill core within 25 m of the Alpine Fault

In the DFDP-1 CT images, a total of 637 fractures were rotated into their true geographic orientation where they show a weak cluster about the orientation of the foliation and Alpine Fault PSZs at Gaunt Creek (015/43 E, Fig. 5a, Appendix B; Townend et al., 2013). Features in DFDP-1B BHTV images are also aligned about this orientation but with a higher cluster intensity than fractures noted in the CT images (Fig. 5b, Table 1). This may reflect (1) features observed at the resolution of the BHTV are more likely to be aligned subparallel to the fault plane and foliation than those visible in CT, and/or (2) some of the planar features identified from the BHTV images were the mylonitic foliation itself. The clustering of fractures hosted in foliated ultramylonites and cataclasites (units 1, 2, and 4 of Toy et al., 2015) is the same as fractures hosted in relatively homogenous unfoliated cataclasites (unit 3 of Toy et al., 2015; Fig. 5c and d, Table 1). We also observed no clear relationships between fracture fill (Table 1 of Williams et al., 2016) and fracture orientation (Fig. 5a).

#### 4.2 Fracture orientations, densities, and fill in field transects within 500 m of the Alpine Fault

The orientations and densities of fractures observed in the four field transects are summarised in Fig. 7. In these transects, similar fractures to those observed in the CT scans of DFDP-1 core are identified (Fig. 8). Total fracture density...
Figure 5. Lower hemisphere equal area stereoplots depicting orientation of fractures in DFDP-1. Contouring on stereoplots was applied to poles that are weighted depending on their orientation correction $w$ (see Sect. 3.2) and that are rounded to the nearest whole number. Contours were then generated for the weighted poles using a probability distribution calculated by a Kernel function in the RFOC package for R (Lees, 2014). Great circle represents orientation of Alpine Fault plane and foliation at DFDP-1 site (Townend et al., 2013). (a) Orientation of all fractures that were reoriented by matching structures between unrolled CT images and BHTV images, sorted by fracture type (Williams et al., 2016). (b) Orientation of features recognised in the BHTV images over the interval of reoriented core (94–126 m in DFDP-1B). Fracture orientations extracted from reoriented DFDP-1 CT images in (c) foliated units and (d) unfoliated units, using the DFDP-1 lithological classification scheme (Toy et al., 2015).

Table 1. Clustering analysis of the different fracture datasets documented in this study, using the resultant vector methodology outlined by Priest (1993). DFDP-1 foliated units comprise ultramylonites and foliated cataclasites (units 1, 2, and 4 of Toy et al., 2015). DFDP-1 unfoliated units comprise unfoliated cataclasites (unit 3 of Toy et al., 2015). The resultant vector orientation for each dataset, which has been converted to spherical coordinates, is also reported. See text for full details. The term “s.f.” indicates significant figures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fracture Dataset</th>
<th>Number of fractures</th>
<th>Resultant plane dip direction</th>
<th>Resultant plane dip</th>
<th>Resultant vector length (cluster intensity, 2 s.f.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All reoriented DFDP-1 CT fractures</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reoriented DFDP-1 CT fractures, foliated units</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reoriented DFDP-1 CT fractures, unfoliated units</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFDP-1B BHTV features (depth interval 94–126 m)</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHP fractures</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in the transects varies between 3 and 30 fractures m\(^{-1}\), and there is no clear decrease in fracture density with increasing distance from the Alpine Fault in any of the transects (Fig. 7a). Gouge-filled fractures (Fig. 8a–c) are observed at all distances from the Alpine Fault but are relatively abundant (>1 fracture m\(^{-1}\), Fig. 7a, Table S2) within 100–160 m of the PSZs (Fig. 7a). The thicker gouge-filled fractures (>1 cm) commonly juxtapose different lithologies or offset markers (Fig. 8d–f). Thinner gouge-filled fractures (<1 cm) are localised to within 160 m of the Alpine Fault. Open fractures (Fig. 8g–i) are present at all stations, though are most prevalent at those furthest from the fault (Fig. 7b).

The composition of the mylonites can also affect fracture density. When they are juxtaposed together, micaceous mylonites and ultramylonites are observed to contain relatively high densities of gouge-filled fractures compared to quartzofeldspathic mylonites and ultramylonites (Fig. 9). Localities that showed the widest range in fracture orientations tend to be less than 160 m from the PSZs within the ultramylonites (Fig. 7b). Within mylonite units, fracture orientations tend to be more aligned to the foliation (Fig. 7b), although gouge-filled fractures can sometimes cut across it (e.g. Bullock Creek).

4.3 Fractures in AHP drill core, 0.7–2.0 km from the Alpine Fault

The AHP sampled grey, well-foliated Alpine Schist (Fig. 10), a subgroup of the Haast Schist (textural zones III–IV, Turnbull et al., 2001; Cox and Barrell, 2007). Fracture orientations are clustered about the orientation of the host rock schistosity in agreement with the findings during initial drill-core descriptions and observations within the Amethyst Tunnel itself (Fig. 11; McCahon, 2006; Savage, 2013). The clustering of these fracture orientations is stronger than in the DFDP-1 datasets (Table 1). Fractures that cut across the schistosity are most frequent in BH4 (Figs. 10d and 11). Though fractures are predominantly open, it is conceivable that the original fill may have been lost during the subsequent core handling processes. This means that standard schemes to differentiate between natural and induced fractures (Ku¨lander et al., 1990; Williams et al., 2016) cannot be applied to this dataset. Nevertheless, some open fractures must be natural as they show alteration haloes (Fig. 10a) implying that they were once conduits for fluid flow. Furthermore, packer tests conducted in these boreholes indicate hydraulic conductivities of \(\sim 10^{-6}–10^{-5}\) m s\(^{-1}\), which is equivalent to permeabilities of \(10^{-13}–10^{-12}\) m\(^2\) (Cox et al., 2015; McCahon, 2006). No permeability measurements have been made in the schist protolith at greater distances from Alpine Fault; however, these measurements are orders of magnitude higher than what has been reported in other metamorphic rock terranes (\(\sim 10^{-20}–10^{-17}\) m\(^2\); Manning and Ingebritsen, 1999) and for typical continental crust (\(\sim 10^{-17}\) m\(^2\); Townend and Zoback, 2000).
Figure 7. (a) Corrected fracture density at all stations for gouge-filled fractures and all fractures. The dashed line indicates a corrected fracture density of 1 fracture m$^{-1}$. No orientation data were collected at Gaunt Creek stations 1 and 2, so fracture density is calculated from the two perpendicular transects. (b) Compilation of stereoplots for fracture orientations at each field station. Stations have been plotted as a function of distance from the fault and distance along strike (with respect to Havelock Creek) along within fault rock lithologies (Toy, 2008). Dashed lines indicate gradational or obscured lithological boundaries. Qf: quartzofeldspathic; MB: metabasic; Mic: micaceous. For field cross sections and location of stations, see Fig. S1 and Table S2.
Figure 8. Examples of the three main types of fractures observed in the field around the Alpine Fault, and correlative fractures in DFDP-1 CT scans. (a–c) Thin gouge-filled fractures (yellow arrows) have a range of orientations and are found exclusively within 160 m from the fault. They are equivalent to type iii fractures from Williams et al. (2016). (d–f) Thicker gouge- and cataclasite-filled fractures are equivalent to type i and ii fractures of Williams et al. (2016) and may be observed at all distances from the Alpine Fault. Offset markers can be observed across these fractures (e.g. the vein indicated by the pen and white arrows in panel d). (g–i) Open fractures are mainly foliation parallel. Equivalent to type v fractures of Williams et al. (2016). Location of field photos: (a) Waikukupa thrust (43°26′34.7″ S, 170°04′10.9″ E), (b) Stony Creek 2, (d) Havelock Creek 2, (e) Bullock Creek, (g) Stony Creek (43°22′23.92″ S, 170°12′53.63″ E), (h) Havelock Creek 4. Compass clinometer is 8 cm and yellow notebook is 20 cm in length. Measuring tape in panel (e) is 1.1 m long; walking pole in panel (g) is 1 m in length. DFDP-1 CT scan intervals: (c) DFDP-1B 56-2 125.35–125.49 m, (f) DFDP-1B 35-1 102.00–102.15 m, (i) DFDP-1B 33-2 99.45–99.60 m.

5 Discussion

5.1 Fracture orientations in anisotropic wall rocks in the Alpine Fault hanging wall

Two styles of fracturing are evident in the foliated Alpine Fault cataclasite, mylonite, and schist sequence (Fig. 12). Within DFDP-1 drill core, fractures are predominantly gouge-filled and exhibit a range of orientations (Figs. 5 and 6) with only a small proportion (11%) of fractures in foliated cataclasites and ultramylonites clearly foliation parallel (Williams et al., 2016). However, in schists sampled by the AHP drill core, the fractures are more clustered about the foliation than in DFDP-1 drill core (Fig. 11, Table 1). The difference in fracture clustering between the DFDP-1 and AHP drill cores is qualitatively replicated by the field transects, where fractures show variable orientations immediately adjacent to the Alpine Fault but are typically foliation parallel at the sites furthest from the fault (Fig. 7). Furthermore, field transects show that the variably oriented fractures have a gouge fill, whilst foliation-parallel fractures further from the fault tend to be open (Figs. 7 and 8).

Experimental studies on foliated rocks demonstrate that mechanical anisotropy will exert the greatest control on rock failure when (1) the angle between the maximum principal stress ($\sigma_1$) and the anisotropy ($\alpha$) is $\sim 30^\circ$, (2) confining pressure is low (< 35 MPa), and (3) the mechanical “strength” of the anisotropy is high (Donath, 1961; Misra et al., 2015; Nasseri et al., 2003; Paterson and Wong, 2005). The first factor can be approximated for the Alpine Fault given the mylonite’s average orientation of 055/45SE (Norris and Cooper, 2007) and the stress tensor orientation within the surrounding crust, determined from focal mechanisms of microseismicity in the fault’s hanging wall (Boese et al., 2012). This yields a value of $\alpha$ of approximately 44°, when measured in the plane containing the maximum and minimum principal stresses. This can be considered an intermediate value of $\alpha$, since in deformation experiments fractures may form parallel or non-parallel to the foliation depending on the combination
Figure 9. Field observations of changes in fracture density at lithological contacts. (a, b) Intervals of micaceous and metabasite mylonite containing a relatively high proportion of gouge-filled fractures (denoted by yellow arrows) compared to interlayered quartzofeldspathic mylonite. (c) Transition from micaceous mylonite to quartzofeldspathic mylonite coincides with furthest extent of intensive gouge-filled fractures, as shown by yellow arrows in panel (d). Taken at (a) Gaunt Creek 1, (b) Havelock Creek 2, (c, and d) Hare Mare Creek 3. Compass clinometer is 8 cm and yellow notebook is 20 cm in length.

of confining pressure and lithology (Donath, 1961; Nasseri et al., 2003; Paterson and Wong, 2005).

Foliation-parallel fractures are least common in the ultramylonites and foliated cataclasites. Indeed, in the DFDP-1 datasets, there is no difference in fracture clustering between foliated and unfoliated units (Table 1). Lithology may control mechanical anisotropy depending on mineralogy, porosity, grain size, and the nature of the foliation surfaces (Donath, 1961; Nasseri et al., 2003). It is notable that phase mixing and grain size reduction in the ultramylonites reduces the intensity of the foliation, compared to the relatively coarse-grained schists, protomylonites, and mylonites (Fig. 2; Norris and Cooper, 2007; Toy et al., 2010, 2008). These data suggest that this lithological change could have a marked effect on the orientation of fractures. Compositional variations between relatively quartzofeldspathic and relatively micaceous mylonites can also influence the density of fractures (Fig. 9). These observations highlight that fracturing in the upper crust may be influenced by lithological variations developed within an underlying linked, and synkinematic, shear zone. However, at other localities (e.g. Stony Creek, Fig. 7), variations in dominant fracture characteristics are confined within units of similar composition and texture. This suggests that variations in confining pressure may also be important in controlling the relationship between fractures and foliation, as discussed in the next section.

5.2 Fracture damage around the Alpine Fault

Field transects across the Alpine Fault’s hanging wall show that fracture density remains roughly constant (> 3.5 fractures m⁻¹, corrected for orientation bias) for at least 500 m from the fault (Fig. 7a). Furthermore, the AHP (Cox et al., 2015) and DFDP-2B boreholes (Sutherland et
Figure 10. Fractures in the AHP drill core. Unrolled images of AHP drill core (BH1 45-2, 124.3–124.9 m) taken by (a) DMT core scanner and (b) generated from a CT image. (1) Identifies fracture cutting across foliation, (2) foliation-parallel fracture with alteration halo, (3) foliation defined by quartzofeldspathic bands that have low CT numbers. (c, d) Core-axial parallel CT image slices of AHP drill core. In panel (e), white arrows point to a “crush zone” subparallel to foliation (BH2 75-2, 155.92–156.04 m). Panel (d) shows more variable fracture orientations identified in BH4 (section 70-4, 196.62–196.80 m).

al., 2017; Townend et al., 2017) demonstrate an interval of enhanced permeability ($10^{-16}–10^{-13} \text{ m}^2$) that extends for at least 2 km into the Alpine Fault’s hanging wall. Permeability in this rock mass is controlled by open fractures (Cox et al., 2015; Sutherland et al., 2017; Townend et al., 2017) that are generally foliation parallel (Massiot, 2017), and so directly analogous to the fractures sampled in the field (Fig. 8g–i) and in AHP drill core (Fig. 10). Conventional definitions of fault structure, that use fracture density and permeability as criteria for damage zone width (e.g. Berg and Skar, 2005; Caine et al., 1996; Faulkner et al., 2010; Savage and Brodsky, 2011; Schulz and Evans, 2000), would therefore suggest that the Alpine Fault’s damage zone extends for at least 500 m, and possibly 2 km, into its hanging wall. Nevertheless, within the field transects we also note a distinct interval adjacent to the Alpine Fault’s PSZs that contains a relatively high density of gouge-filled fractures (>1 fracture m$^{-1}$, Fig. 7a). The width of this interval is <147 m (i.e. station 4) from the PSZs at Gaunt Creek, <103 m at Stony Creek (i.e. station 3), <151 m at Hare Mare Creek (at station 2, Fig. 8c), and <160 m at Havelock Creek (i.e. station 4). These width estimates are based on assumption that the Alpine Fault dips at 30° below the measuring stations (see the methods section). However, the fault dip may locally vary (for example, the fault dip sampled by DFDP-1 was 43°; Townend et al., 2013), and there is also uncertainty in the depth extent of its near-surface segmentation (Barth et al., 2012; Norris and Cooper, 1995; Upton et al., 2017). Nevertheless, even if the fault dipped at 45° (Norris and Cooper, 2007) beneath the measuring stations, the zone of higher-density gouge-filled fractures would be <205 m wide (Table S3) and so is still appreciably closer to the Alpine Fault than the intervals sampled by the AHP and DFDP-2 boreholes.

It is this ∼100–160 m wide interval with a high density of gouge-filled fractures that Norris and Cooper (1997, 2007) interpreted as the extent Alpine Fault’s central section hanging-wall damage zone. Furthermore, the width of this zone is comparable to damage zone widths estimated elsewhere on the Alpine Fault (e.g. Barth et al., 2013, along the southern section; Wright, 1998, at the northern end of the central section, Fig. 13a) and to other crustal-scale fault zones that have accommodated hundreds of kilometres of...
displacement (Fig. 13b; Faulkner et al., 2011; Savage and Brodsky, 2011).

Interpretations of damage zone width within the Alpine Fault’s hanging wall may therefore differ by an order of magnitude depending on what criteria are used. To reconcile this, Townend et al. (2017) suggested that the ∼2 km wide interval of enhanced permeability and foliation-parallel fracturing can be considered as an “outer damage zone” (Fig. 12). Fractures within this zone may have formed by co-seismic shaking and slip on critically stressed fractures (Cox et al., 2015; Townend et al., 2017), or by the release of confining pressure (Engelder, 1985; Price, 1959; Zangerl et al., 2006) during rapid exhumation (6–9 mm yr\(^{-1}\)) of the hanging wall (Little et al., 2005; Tippett and Kamp, 1995). Rare gouge-filled fractures (< 1 fracture m\(^{-1}\)) in this interval (e.g. Fig. 8e) may also be the structures accommodating the diffuse, low to moderate magnitude (\(M_W<6\)) seismicity that has been recorded in a ∼5 km wide zone within the Alpine Fault’s hanging wall (Boese et al., 2012; Chamberlain et al., 2017; Eberhart-Phillips, 1995).

Conversely, the <160 m wide zone with a relatively high density of gouge-filled fractures defines a narrower “inner damage zone” (Fig. 12; Townend et al. 2017). Microstructural and compositional analysis of these fractures indicates that they formed in response to wear and shearing of the wall rock and were subsequently mineralised due to circulation of hydrothermal fluids (Warr and Cox, 2001; Williams et al., 2017a). Offset markers across gouge-filled fractures (particularly those < 1 cm thick) are rarely observed in DFDP-1 core and field transects, but where they are present, reverse offset is most frequently noted (Fig. 8d; Norris and Cooper, 1997; Toy et al., 2015). “Gouge-filled shears” that accommodated strike slip (Norris and Cooper, 1997), normal dip slip (Cooper and Norris, 1994), or a combination of both (Barth et al., 2012) have also been observed.

Cooper and Norris (1994) interpreted that dip-slip fractures facilitated imbrication, tectonic thickening, and rotation of Alpine Fault thrust sheets as they moved across the irregular topography of the footwall gravels. Dextral shears are interpreted to reflect the partitioning of strike-slip movement away from shallowly dipping PSZs (Barth et al., 2012). The diverse range of fracture orientations and shear senses in gouge-filled fractures therefore indicates complex internal deformation of Alpine Fault thrust sheets at shallow depths.
Fractures may have also formed due to dynamic off-fault stresses (Ma, 2009; Rice et al., 2005) during $M_W > 7.5$ Alpine Fault earthquake ruptures (Sutherland et al., 2007). The relatively thin seismogenic crust in the Alpine Fault’s hanging wall ($10 \pm 2$ km, Boese et al., 2012) will limit the generation of dynamic co-seismic damage to within $\sim 100$–200 m of the fault (Ampuero and Mao, 2017). To the first order, this is comparable to the width of the inner damage zone reported here.

5.3 Comparison to geophysical data

A 60–200 m wide low-velocity zone (LVZ) that extends to depths of $\sim 8$ km has been documented around the Alpine Fault from the detection and character of fault zone guided waves (FZGWs; Eccles et al., 2015). FZGWs are commonly regarded as an in situ indicator of fault damage zone width (Ben-Zion and Sammis, 2003; Eberhart-Phillips et al., 1995; Ellsworth and Malin, 2011; Li et al., 2014). Given the comparable widths of the Alpine Fault LVZ (60–200 m) and the inner damage zone described here (100–160 m), we speculate that the inner damage zone may trap FZGWs in the Alpine Fault hanging wall. If this is true, it implies that the inner damage zone extends to depths of $\sim 8$ km, consistent with the relatively high-temperature ($< 400 ^\circ C$) mineralising phases (calcite and chlorite) present in the gouge-filled fractures (Williams et al., 2017a).

Though the boundary between the mylonites and ultramylonites is also $\sim 100$ m from the Alpine Fault (Norris and Cooper, 2003; Toy et al., 2008), these two units have roughly similar seismic velocities (Adam et al., 2016; Allen et al., 2017; Christensen and Okaya, 2007) and so are unlikely to channel FZGWs. We also note that since FZGWs are an indicator of total fault zone width, our interpretation implies that most of the Alpine Fault’s LVZ is located in its hanging wall. Western province basement rocks to the west of the Alpine Fault are rarely exposed (Lund Snee et al., 2014; Norris and Cooper, 2007), and so it remains unknown if its footwall damage zone is indeed relatively narrow.

That the FZGWs are not being channelled by the margins of the $\sim 2$ km wide outer damage zone leads us to conclude that this is a near-surface feature only (i.e. fractures are not kept open at depth by pressurised fluids). If correct, this model of the Alpine Fault’s hanging-wall structure conforms to the expectations of fault zone flower structure models, which predict a narrow inner damage zone that extends through the seismogenic crust, surrounded by a wider
zone of fractures at shallow depths at low confining pressures ($\sim<3$ km, Fig. 12; e.g. Finzi et al., 2009; Sylvester, 1988).

6 Conclusions

Fracture orientations and densities in the foliated hanging wall of the Alpine Fault’s central section were quantified in drill core from the DFDP-1, field transects in four creek sections, and drill core recovered from the Amethyst Hydro Project. At distances greater than approximately 160 m from the Alpine Fault PSZs, open and foliation-parallel fractures dominate. These are interpreted to form at low confining pressures in mechanically anisotropic schist and mylonites. At distances less than $\sim$160 m from the PSZs, gouge-filled fractures with a wide range of orientations predominate. Fracture density and orientation are locally influenced by changes in host rock lithology, but overall fracture density is approximately constant at distances of up to $\sim$500 m from the PSZs (Fig. 12).

Following Townend et al. (2017), we interpret that the $\sim$2 km wide zone of open foliation-parallel fractures within the hanging wall represents an outer damage zone that forms at low confining pressures and relatively shallow depths. Conversely, the 160 m wide zone of gouge-filled fractures represents an inner damage zone. The width of this zone is similar to estimates for the LVZ around the Alpine Fault made by fault zone guided waves. We therefore interpret that the inner damage zone is the geological manifestation of the LVZ, which, if true, implies that the inner damage zone also extends to depths of $\sim$8 km. Overall, our interpretations are compatible with a flower structure model for damage in the Alpine Fault’s hanging wall, with a relatively narrow zone of damage extending towards the base of the seismogenic crust, which broadens upwards towards the surface.

Code availability. The code to generate “unrolled” circumferential CT images is available from the GFZ data service (https://doi.org/10.5880/ICDP.5052.005, last access: 18 April 2018).

Data availability. In the Supplement, we include detailed field maps and cross sections (Fig. S1), photos of outcrops used for quantifying fracture density (Fig. S2), a cross section through the Amethyst Tunnel and location of boreholes (Fig. S3), and an example of AHP CT scans (Fig. S4). The following tables are also provided: a list of rotations applied to DFDP-1B core (Table S1), a summary of field transects including coordinates of the field-measuring stations (Table S2), and estimates of the distance of field-measuring stations from the Alpine Fault for different fault dips (Table S3). Lithological distribution and Alpine Fault location are as per the University of Otago fault zone mapping program, which is available at http://www.otago.ac.nz/geology/research/structural-geology/alpine-fault/af-maps.html (last access: 18 April 2018). DFDP-1 and AHP CT scan “core logs” and CT-BHTV image comparison are available on the GFZ data service (https://doi.org/10.5880/ICDP.5052.004, last access: 18 April 2018).
Appendix A: DFDP-1B core rotation methodology

The technique employed to reorient core DFDP-1 here is similar to that described in Jarrard et al. (2001), Paulsen et al. (2002), and Shigematsu et al. (2014); however, instead of comparing DFDP-1 BHTV data to DMT CoreScan system® unrolled core scans, we compare BHTV images to “unrolled” CT core images. The acquisition and interpretation of the DFDP-1 BHTV logs have been previously described by Townend et al. (2013) and McNamara (2015). DFDP-1 CT scans consist of a stack of core-axial perpendicular image slices with a pixel size of 0.244 mm and a spacing of 1 mm. The CT stack for each core section was loaded into Fiji (http://fiji.sc/Fiji, last access: 18 April 2018) and a circle was manually defined around the irregular boundary of drill core in a core axial-perpendicular image slice using the code available at Mills and Williams (2017). This circle was then used to define the path of the image in all other slices. Generation of the unrolled images accounts for the fact that the spacing between individual CT slices (1 mm, i.e., the core-axial parallel pixel size) is greater than the pixel size within the slices (0.244 mm). Drill core outer surface images and BHTV images are reflections of each other. Therefore, the drill core images were reflected about the borehole axis so that the two images are directly comparable. This technique has benefits over methods using the DMT CoreScan system®, since drill core does not have to be physically rotated and so can be used without the risk of damaging fragile core sections.

Unrolled CT images were imported into the composite log viewing software WellCAD® (https://www.alt.lu/software.htm, last access: 18 April 2018) along with the BHTV images, where they are placed side by side to allow matching of structures (Fig. 4; see also Williams et al., 2017b). When correlating the two datasets, it was first necessary to account for possible depth shifts between recorded drill-core depths and BHTV imagery due to factors such as stretching of the logging cable and intervals from which no drill core was recovered (Haggas et al., 2001; Jarrard et al., 2001). In this study, a depth shift of no more than ±30 cm was allowed.

The orientation of fractures in the DFDP-1 CT images had previously been measured within a local core reference frame (see Fig. 4 in Williams et al., 2016). Since the DFDP-1 boreholes were vertical, corrections to reorient the drill core back into a geographic reference frame required only a single rotation about the core axis to correct for the dip direction. When correlating structures, errors may be introduced by (1) the internal BHTV magnetometer (±2°), (2) the manual picking of sinusoidal curves on BHTV and unrolled CT images that can be ±10° for shallowly dipping (<30°) structures (Jarrard et al., 2001), and (3) the fact that the DFDP-1B BHTV data imaged the open borehole, which has a larger diameter (127 mm) than the drill core (85 mm). To mitigate against the cumulative effect of these errors, Jarrard et al. (2001) stitched unrolled images of many different core sections together that spanned intervals of 5–30 m, prior to the matching with BHTV imagery. This meant that only a single rotation was necessary for all core sections across the entire stitched interval, which could be based on identifying ~20–30 matching structures between the BHTV and unrolled core images.

In DFDP-1, it was not possible to stitch unrolled CT images of core section together as no prominent reference markers across different sections were identified. Consequently, each <1 m long core section had to be reoriented individually, within which we never identified more than three matching structures. Therefore, compared to the methodology described by Jarrard et al. (2001), the degree of confidence on the applied reorientation was strongly dependent on the quality of individual matches for each core section and the range of rotations that they indicated. We recorded this qualitatively for each core section using the scheme outlined below:

- **High degree of confidence**: images matched with one very prominent structure (e.g., Fig. 4d) or with two or more structures whose ranges of suggested rotations are within 10° of each other (Fig. 4b and c).
- **Moderate degree of confidence**: images matched with one prominent feature, two features that indicate rotations that range 10–19° (e.g., Fig. 4a), or three features whose ranges of suggested rotations are within 20–30° of each other.
- **Low degree of confidence**: images matched with one feature or two features whose ranges of suggested rotations are within 20–30° of each other.

In this scheme, a core reorientation is deemed unreliable if the range of rotations suggested by different structures is ≥30°, i.e., equivalent to the cumulative effect of possible errors listed above. For those core sections where more than one matching structure was identified, the rotation that was applied was derived from the average of that required for each match. If one of the matched structures was more prominent, then the applied rotation was biased towards that structure.

---
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Appendix B: DFDP-1B core rotation validity

Based on the criteria presented in Appendix A, of the 40 core sections from DFDP-1B in which there was suitable quality of unrolled CT and BHTV images to attempt reorientation (Fig. 3), 31 were reoriented (Table S1). Prior to reorientation, fractures in these sections exhibit no clustering (Fig. B1a); however, a weak one does develop after reorientation (Fig. 5a). Since fractures in nature typically exhibit non-random orientations, this is evidence that the reorientation of the CT scans was successful (Kulander et al., 1990; Paulsen et al., 2002). In addition, fractures within some individual core sections (Fig. B1b) and fractures rotated based on a high degree of confidence (Fig. B1c) contain a wide range of orientations.

The recognition of fractures in unrolled CT images that are not observed in BHTV can be readily explained by the higher resolution of the CT images. However, structures are also observed in the BHTV logs but not interpreted as fractures in the CT images (Fig. 4). This may represent noise in the BHTV images, or in the case of foliation-parallel structures, the ultramylonitic foliation itself since it can be difficult to differentiate these structures. The subordinate north-dipping set of fractures in the BHTV images (Fig. 5b) is not recognised in the orientations gathered from CT images (Fig. 5a). A similar north-dipping fracture set was also recognised in DFDP-2B BHTV images (Massiot, 2017), and their causation and relevance are the focus of ongoing work.

Figure B1. Stereoplots to tests the confidence in reorientations applied to rotate DFDP-1 CT scan fracture orientations into geographic coordinates. Red great circle and diamond in each plot represent the plane and pole to the Alpine Fault orientation measured in DFDP-1B. Plotted with Kamb contours with intervals of 2 standard deviations. (a) Orientation of fractures shown in Fig. 5a before rotation, (b) orientation of reoriented fractures within a single core section (DFDP-1B 56-2), and (c) orientation of fractures in CT images from core sections that were oriented with a high degree of confidence with BHTV images.
The Supplement related to this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.5194/se-9-469-2018-supplement.
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